Math 2135: Linear Algebra

Assignment 3

Solutions

- 1. There is an interesting function $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ called *complex conjugation* and denoted $z \mapsto \overline{z}$. It takes a complex number $z = a + b\sqrt{-1}$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, to the *conjugate* number $\overline{z} = a b\sqrt{-1}$.
 - (a) Think of $V = \mathbb{C}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Is complex conjugation a linear map?

Yes. Given complex numbers $z_1, z_2 \in V = \mathbb{C}$, we do have $\overline{z_1 + z_2} = \overline{z_1} + \overline{z_2}$, so complex conjugation respects vector addition. Furthermore, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, and if $z \in V = \mathbb{C}$, then $\overline{\lambda z} = \overline{\lambda z} = \lambda \overline{z}$, so complex conjugation respects scalar multiplication.

(b) Think of $V = \mathbb{C}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. Is complex conjugation a linear map?

No. Let $z \in V = \mathbb{C}$ be a nonzero complex number, for example z = 1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ be a complex number which is not purely real, for example $\lambda = \sqrt{-1}$. Then $\overline{\lambda z} = \overline{\lambda z} \neq \lambda \overline{z}$. So complex conjugation does not respect scalar multiplication.

2. Given any $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, define a function $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

T(x, y, z) = (2x - 4y + 3z + b, 6x + cxyz).

Show that T is linear if and only if b = c = 0.

Let us check whether T respects vector addition. In other words, given two vectors $v_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $v_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we wish to check whether $T(v_1 + v_2) \stackrel{?}{=} T(v_1) + T(v_2)$. Spelled out, this is asking whether

$$(2x_1 - 4y_1 + 3z_1 + b, 6x_1 + cx_1y_1z_1) + (2x_2 - 4y_2 + 3z_2 + b, 6x_2 + cx_2y_2z_2)$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} (2(x_1 + x_2) - 4(y_1 + y_2) + 3(z_1 + z_2) + b, 6(x_1 + x_2) + c(x_1 + x_2)(y_1 + y_2)(z_1 + z_2))$$

is always an equality of vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 . Matching the coordinates, we are asking:

$$2x_1 - 4y_1 + 3z_1 + b + 2x_2 - 4y_2 + 3z_2 + b \stackrel{?}{=} 2(x_1 + x_2) - 4(y_1 + y_2) + 3(z_1 + z_2) + b$$

$$6x_1 + cx_1y_1z_1 + 6x_2 + cx_2y_2z_2 \stackrel{?}{=} 6(x_1 + x_2) + c(x_1 + x_2)(y_1 + y_2)(z_1 + z_2)$$

After some light rearranging, we see that the first equation holds if and only if

$$2b \stackrel{?}{=} b$$

and the second holds if and only of, for every $x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

0

$$c(x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_2z_2) \stackrel{!}{=} c(x_1 + x_2)(y_1 + y_2)(z_1 + z_2).$$

The first equation holds if and only if b = 0. The second holds if and only if c = 0: the if direction is obvious, and the only if direction is readily seen by setting $x_1 = \cdots = z_2 = 1$.

We have thus shown that T respects vector addition if and only if b = c = 0. It remains to show that if b = c = 0, then T respects scalar multiplication. But, letting $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and taking b = c = 0, we compute:

$$T\lambda(x, y, z) = T(\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z) = (2\lambda x - 4\lambda y + 3\lambda z, 6\lambda x) = \lambda(2x - 4y + 3z, 6x) = \lambda T(x, y, z).$$

- 3. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the vector space of all differentiable functions. Given $f \in V$, denote its derivative by f'.
 - (a) Is the function $V \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that sends $f \mapsto (f(1) + f'(2), \int_0^3 f(x) \, dx)$ linear? Why or why not?

Yes, this function is linear. To see this, it is helpful to inspect the pieces of the function. First, for any real number $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $V \to \mathbb{R}$ sending $f \mapsto f(r)$ is linear. Indeed, the function f + g is defined so that (f + g)(r) = f(r) + g(r) for every r, and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the function λf is defined so that $(\lambda f)(r) = \lambda f(r)$ for every r. So for example $f \mapsto f(1)$ is a linear transformation.

Second, taking derivatives is linear. Indeed, (f+g)' = f'+g' and $(\lambda f)' = \lambda' f + \lambda f' = \lambda f'$ since $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant (so $\lambda' = 0$). Since evaluating is also linear, we see that $f \mapsto f'(2)$ is linear.

Third, definite integrals are linear: for fixed real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and for any integrable functions f, g, we know that $\int_a^b (f(x) + g(x)) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_a^b g(x) dx$, and for any integrable function f and any real number λ , we know that $\int_a^b \lambda f(x) dx = \lambda \int_a^b f(x) dx$. (All differential functions are continuous, and all continuous functions are integrable.)

Sums of linear functions are linear. And the addition and scalar multiplication in \mathbb{R}^2 are defined component wise: this implies that if you have a transformation valued in \mathbb{R}^2 such that each component is linear, then the whole transformation is linear.

More directly, what we are saying is: For any differentiable functions f, g and any constant λ ,

$$\left((f+g)(1) + (f+g)'(2), \int_0^3 (f+g)(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right) = \left(f(1) + f'(2), \int_0^3 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right) + \left(g(1) + g'(2), \int_0^3 g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right),$$
$$\left(\lambda f(1) + \lambda f'(2), \int_0^3 \lambda f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right) = \lambda \left(f(1) + f'(2), \int_0^3 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right).$$

(b) Is the function $V \to \mathbb{R}$ that sends $f \mapsto \int_0^3 x^2 f(x) \, dx$ linear? Why or why not? [Yes], this function is linear. We have already remarked that the assignment $f \mapsto \int_0^3 f(x) \, dx$ is linear. The question asks about what you get if you precompose this operation with the assignment $f(x) \mapsto x^2 f(x)$. In general, fix any differentiable function h(x). Then the function $V \to V$ which sends $f \mapsto hf$ is linear:

$$h(x)\left(f(x)+g(x)\right)=h(x)\,f(x)+h(x)\,g(x),\quad h(x)\,\lambda f(x)=\lambda h(x)\,f(x),\quad \forall f,g\in V,\,\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$$

More directly, what we are saying is: For any differentiable functions f, g and any

constant λ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_0^3 x^2 (f(x) + g(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_0^3 \left(x^2 f(x) + x^2 g(x) \right), \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^3 x^2 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^3 x^2 g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \\ \int_0^3 x^2 \lambda f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_0^3 \lambda x^2 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \lambda \int_0^3 x^2 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

(c) Is the function $V \to \mathbb{R}$ that sends $f \mapsto f'(2)^2$ linear? Why or why not?

Now consider the case f(x) = g(x) = x. Then f'(2) = g'(2) = 1, and so

$$T(f) + T(g) = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

On the other hand, (f+g)(x) = 2x, and so

$$T(f+g) = T(2x) = 2^2 = 4 \neq 2.$$

Thus $T(f+g) \neq T(f) + T(g)$ for some $f, g \in V$, and so T does not respect vector addition.

T also does not respect scalar multiplication, which can be seen for example by taking $\lambda = 2$ and f(x) = x.

Remark: There do exist functions f, g such that T(f + g) = T(f) + T(g), for example f = g = 0. There also exist numbers λ such that $T(\lambda f) = \lambda T(f)$, for example $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$. However, for *most* functions f, g and for most numbers λ , you will find that $T(f + g) \neq T(f) + T(g)$ and $T(\lambda g) \neq \lambda T(f)$.

4. Suppose $T: \mathbb{F}^4 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ is a linear map such that

$$\ker(T) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \text{ s.t. } x_1 = 5x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = x_1 + x_4\}.$$

Show that T is surjective.

We know that $\dim \mathbb{F}^4 = 4$ and $\dim \mathbb{F}^2 = 2$. We also know that

$$\dim \mathbb{F}^4 = \dim \ker T + \dim \operatorname{im} T.$$

Thus, if we can show that dim ker T = 2, then we will know that dim im T = 2. But im $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}^2$, and so if dim im T = 2, then we must have equality im $T = \mathbb{F}^2$.

It thus remains to understand ker T. We claim that $v_1 := (5, 1, 5, 0)$ and $v_2 := (0, 0, 1, 1)$ are together a basis for ker T. They are obviously linearly independent, and obviously both in ker T. So the only thing to check is whether they span ker T. Well, suppose that $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \ker T$. Then $x_1 = 5x_2$ and $x_3 = 5x_2 + x_4$. Set $\alpha_1 := x_2$ and $\alpha_2 := x_4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 &= x_2(5, 1, 5, 0) + x_4(0, 0, 1, 1) = (5x_2, x_2, 5x_2, 0) + (0, 0, x_4, x_4) \\ &= (5x_2, x_2, 5x_2 + x_4, x_4) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = v. \end{aligned}$$

So these two vectors span, and hence are a basis for, ker T. So dim ker T = 2, which is what we wanted to prove.

5. (a) Find a linear map $T: \mathbb{F}^2 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ such that $\ker(T) = \operatorname{im}(T)$ or show that one does not exist.

For example,

$$T(x,y) := (y,0)$$

has ker $T = \{(x, y) : y = 0\} = \{(x, 0)\}$, where x is arbitrary, whereas im $T = \{(y, 0)\}$ where y is arbitrary. So ker $T = \operatorname{im} T$.

(b) Find a linear map $T: \mathbb{F}^3 \to \mathbb{F}^3$ such that $\ker(T) = \operatorname{im}(T)$ or show that one does not exist.

There does not exist such a map. Indeed, for any map $T: \mathbb{F}^3 \to \mathbb{F}^3$, we definitely have

$$3 = \dim \mathbb{F}^3 = \dim \ker T + \dim \operatorname{im} T.$$

Suppose that ker $T = \operatorname{im} T$ and that $n = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{im} T$. Then we would have 3 = 2n, i.e. $n = \frac{3}{2}$. But dimensions are always integers.

6. Let $S: U \to V$ and $T: V \to W$ be linear maps. Show that if any two of the three maps S, T, and their composition $TS: U \to W$, are invertible, then so is the third one.

Suppose first that S and T are invertible, with inverses S^{-1} and T^{-1} respectively. In other words, $SS^{-1} = I_V$, $S^{-1}S = I_U$, $TT^{-1} = I_W$, and $T^{-1}T = I_V$. Set $X := S^{-1}T^{-1}$. We claim that X is an inverse to TS. In other words, we claim that $XTS = I_U$ and $TSX = I_W$. We now check these:

$$S^{-1}T^{-1}TS = S^{-1}I_VS = S^{-1}S = I_U, \qquad TSS^{-1}T^{-1} = TI_VT^{-1} = I_W.$$

These equations confirm that $X = S^{-1}T^{-1}$ is indeed an inverse to TS

Next, suppose that S and TS are invertible, with inverses S^{-1} and $(TS)^{-1}$ respectively. In other words, $SS^{-1} = I_V$, $S^{-1}S = I_U$, $(TS)^{-1}TS = I_U$, and $TS(TS)^{-1} = I_W$. Set $X := S(TS)^{-1}$. We wish to claim that X is an inverse to T, i.e. that $TX = I_W$ and $XT = I_V$. One of these is easy:

$$TX = TS(TS)^{-1} = I_W.$$

To show that X is an inverse to T, we must also show that $XT = I_V$. This is harder because $XT = S(TS)^{-1}T$. If we could move the S over next to the T, then we'd be able to use the equation $(TS)^{-1}TS = I_U$. Well, let's remember that we have access to S^{-1} such that $SS^{-1} = I_V$. Then:

$$XT = S(TS)^{-1}T = S(TS)^{-1}TI_V = S(TS)^{-1}TSS^{-1} = SI_US^{-1}SS^{-1} = I_V.$$

So indeed X is an inverse to T.

The third case is almost the same as the second case. Suppose that T and TS are invertible, with T^{-1} and $(TS)^{-1}$ respectively. We claim that $X := (TS)^{-1}T$ is an inverse to S. To check this, we compute:

$$XS = (TS)^{-1}TS = I_U,$$

$$SX = S(TS)^{-1}T = I_V S(TS)^{-1}T = T^{-1}TS(TS)^{-1}T = T^{-1}I_W T = T^{-1}T = I_V.$$