

Math 3032 Lecture 10 (23 Feb 2021)

Scheduling announcements:

- This week: shortened lectures to make time for OH type questions.
- HW 5 due on Thursday.
- week of March 8 (week after next) no classes. optional review HW set that week

Today: Ideals. ← the most important notion in ring th.

Motivation for ideals:

Recall:

First isomorphism theorem / homomorphism theorem (for gps)

Suppose $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism of gps.

(in particular, G, H are gps. I'll write them multiplicatively)

Then $\text{Ker}(\varphi) := \varphi^{-1}(1_H) \subseteq G$

(a) is a normal subgroup.

(b) and $G/\text{Ker}(\varphi) \cong \text{image}(\varphi)$,

(c) For every normal subgroup N , there is a quotient gp G/N and a homomorphism

i.e. $\text{image}(\varphi) = G/N$

$$G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G/N$$

with $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = N$.

Slogan of the theorem:

surjective homs

\cong normal

subgps.

This G/N is "unique".

To achieve an analogous theorem for rings,
we should ask: what structure has the kernel
of a homomorphism of rings?

Recall: A ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is
a function s.t. $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ additive
 $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ multiplicative
(sometimes we also ask $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ unit())

Additivity $\iff \varphi$ is a homomorphism of additive groups.

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\varphi) &:= \varphi^{-1}(0) \subseteq R \\ &= \{r \in R \text{ s.t. } \varphi(r) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall: A ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is

a function s.t. $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ additive
 $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ multiplicative

(sometimes we also ask $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ unit())

Additivity $\iff \varphi$ is a homomorphism of additive grps.

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\varphi) &:= \varphi^{-1}(0) \subseteq R \\ &= \{r \in R \text{ s.t. } \varphi(r) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

\implies • $(\text{image}(\varphi), +) \cong \frac{(R, +)}{\ker(\varphi)}$ iso of additive grps.

• $(\ker(\varphi), +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$

Since $(R, +)$ abelian: normal subgroup \equiv subgroup.

If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a ring hom.,
additivity $\Rightarrow \ker(\varphi)$ is an additive
subgp of R .

Interesting part is multiplicativity.

$$\varphi(\underbrace{a \cdot b}_R) = \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(b)$$

mult. in S .

$$\ker(\varphi) \ni a$$
$$\Downarrow$$
$$\varphi(a) = 0 \quad (\text{not } 1)$$

So $\ker(\varphi)$ is related to \cdot in R
(\Leftrightarrow) 0 is related to \cdot in S .

$$0 \cdot s = 0 = s \cdot 0 \quad \text{for any } s \in S.$$

\Rightarrow if $a \in \ker(\varphi)$ then $ab \in \ker(\varphi) \quad \forall b \in R$
i.e. if $\varphi(a) = 0$ because $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = 0 \cdot \varphi(b)$

Defn: A (two-sided) ideal in R is
an additive subgroup $I \subseteq R$ s.t.

$$\forall b \in R \text{ and } \forall a \in I, \quad ab, ba \in I.$$

i.e.

$$\forall b \in R, \quad bI := \{b \cdot a \text{ for } a \in I\} \quad \text{are subsets of } I.$$
$$Ib := \{a \cdot b \text{ for } a \in I\}$$

On previous slide: if $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism,
then $\ker(\varphi)$ is an ideal.

Remark: "ideal" \equiv two-sided ideal.

there are also one-sided ideals.

e.g. a left ideal is $I \subseteq R$ additive subgroup
s.t. $aI \subseteq I \quad \forall a \in R.$

Justify the definition: Want to show that every ideal is a kernel of a ring hom.

Strategy: Given $I \subseteq R$ an ideal, already have an additive gp R/I .

We will give R/I a ring structure

via the quotient map $R \rightarrow R/I$
 $r \mapsto [r] := r + I$
coset

is a ring map.

This R/I will be the quotient ring aka factor ring.

(because I is a subgroup of abelian gp $(R, +)$, and subgps of abelian are normal)

Elements of $(R/I, +)$ are cosets $r+I \subseteq R$.

where $[r] = [r']$ iff $r'-r \in I$.

If $R \rightarrow R/I$ is going to be a ring map,
 $r \mapsto [r]$

then mult in R/I will have to be

$$[r] \cdot [s] := [r \cdot s]$$

i.e. $(r+I) \cdot (s+I) := rs+I$.

This will work provided that if $[r] = [r']$
and $[s] = [s']$ then $[r \cdot s] = [r' \cdot s']$

This will work provided that if $[r] = [r']$
and $[s] = [s']$ then $[r \cdot s] = [r' \cdot s']$

WTS: if $r' - r \in I$ and $s' - s \in I$
then $r' \cdot s' - r \cdot s \in I$.

Pf: Rewrite
$$r' \cdot s' - r \cdot s = r' \cdot s' - \overbrace{r' \cdot s + r' \cdot s}^0 - r \cdot s$$
$$= r' \cdot \underbrace{(s' - s)}_{\in I} + \underbrace{(r' - r)}_{\in I} \cdot s$$

Since $s' - s \in I$, $r' \cdot (s' - s) \in I$

Since $r' - r \in I$, $(r' - r) \cdot s \in I$

Since $(I, +)$ is a GP, $RHS \in I$. \square