

**\*\*This document was last updated on April 20, 2008. A more recent version may be available as part of <http://math.berkeley.edu/~theo/f/CstarAlgebras.pdf>.\*\***

## 1 February 6, 2008

Any questions? No. Today we will look seriously at positive linear functionals.

### 1.1 Positive Linear Functionals

If you don't have an identity elements, things are more complicated, and we will have to deal with that; as always, we begin with the unital situation.

**Prop:** Let  $A$  be a unital  $*$ -normed algebra. Let  $\mu$  be a positive linear functional on  $A$ . If either

- (a)  $\mu$  is continuous (with respect to norm). This is situation in many examples.
- (b)  $A$  is complete (i.e. a Banach algebra). (If (a) holds, then  $\mu$  extended to the completion of  $A$ , so reduces to (b), whereas if (b) holds, then  $\mu$  is automatically continuous, as we will show.)

Then  $\|\mu\| = \mu(1)$ . (In particular, it is continuous.)

**Proof:**

We assume, by parenthetical remark above, that we are in case 2. above. We do not assume continuity. Certainly  $1^* = 1 = 1^* \times 1$ , so  $\mu(1)$  is a nonnegative real number.

Consider first  $a$  with  $\|a\| < 1$  and  $a = a^*$ . Claim: then  $1 - a = b^*b$  for some  $b$  in  $A$ . Why? Consider  $\sqrt{1 - z} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is holomorphic near 0, so has power series  $\sum r_n z^n$ , converging absolutely and uniformly on any disk about 0 with radius less than 1. Thus  $b = \sum r_n a^n$  converges in  $A$ , by completeness. The  $r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $b = b^*$ , and  $b^2 = 1 - a$ .

Then  $\mu(1) - \mu(a) = \mu(b^*b) \geq 0$ , so  $\mu(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\mu(1) \geq \mu(a)$ , and also  $\mu(1) \geq \mu(-a)$ , and hence  $\mu(1) \geq |\mu(a)|$ .

For general  $a$  with  $\|a\| < 1$  (but no longer considering  $a = a^*$ , we consider, using Cauchy-Schwartz ( $\mu$  is positive, and C.S. does not require definiteness), that

$$|\mu(a)|^2 = |\mu(1a)|^2 = |\langle 1, a \rangle_\mu|^2 \stackrel{\text{C.S.}}{\leq} |\langle 1, 1 \rangle_\mu| |\langle a, a \rangle_\mu| = \mu(1) \mu(a^*a) \leq \mu(1)^2$$

where the last inequality follows from the previous paragraph, since  $\|a^*a\| \leq \|a^*\| \|a\|$ , and we assume that  $*$  is isometric. (This is part of the word “ $*$ -normed”.) This completes the proof ( $\|\mu\|$  is the sup of  $|\mu(a)|$  for  $\|a\| \leq 1$ ).  $\square$

**Prop:** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with 1, and let  $\mu$  be a continuous linear functional on  $A$ . If  $\mu(1) = \|\mu\|$ , then  $\mu$  is positive. I.e., this condition characterizes positivity.

**Proof:**

Let  $a \in A$ . We must show that  $\mu(a^*a) \geq 0$ . We can write  $a^*a = b^2$  for some  $b = b^*$ , so suffice to show that  $\mu(b^2) \geq 0$ . Let  $B = C^*(b, 1) = C(\sigma(b))$ , and restrict  $\mu$  to  $B$ . Thus, we can verify the result in the commutative case.

So, we need to show: if  $A = C(M)$  with  $M$  compact, and  $\mu$  is a linear functional on  $A$  with  $\mu(1) = \|\mu\|$ , then  $\mu$  is positive. By dividing, we can assume that  $\mu(1) = \|\mu\| = 1$ .

If  $f^* = f$ , then  $\mu(f) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Why? Let  $\mu(f) = \alpha + i\beta$ . Then  $|\mu(f + it1)|^2 = |\alpha + i(\beta + t)|^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta t + t^2$ . On the other hand,  $|\mu(f + it)|^2 \leq \|f + it\|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 + t^2$  since  $f$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued. Then for every  $t$ ,  $\underbrace{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}_{|\mu(f)|^2} + 2\beta t \leq \|f\|^2$ . Thus,  $\beta = 0$ , and we see that  $f \geq 0$  implies that

$$\|f - \|f\|1\| \leq \|f\|, \text{ so } |\mu(f) - \|f\|| = |\mu(f - \|f\|1)| \leq \|f\|, \text{ so } \mu(f) \geq 0. \quad \square$$

**Theorem:** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with 1. For any  $a \in A$  with  $a = a^*$ , and for any  $\lambda \in \sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , there is a state  $\mu$  on  $A$  such that  $\mu(a) = \lambda$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $B = C^*(a, 1) = C^*(\sigma(a))$ , and let  $\mu_0$  on  $B$  be the  $\delta$ -function at  $\lambda$ . Then  $\mu_0(a) = \lambda$ . Then  $\|\mu_0\| = 1 = \mu_0(1)$ .

We invoke the Hahn-Banach theorem (big, mysterious, uses Choice). This extended  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu$  on  $A$ , with  $\|\mu\| = \|\mu_0\|$ . But  $\|\mu_0\| = 1 = \mu_0(1) = \mu(1)$ . So by the previous proposition,  $\mu \geq 0$ , and hence a state (positive linear functional of norm 1).  $\square$

In the commutative case, we care about this kind of thing because we want, e.g.,  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) = C(?)$ , where  $?$  = maximal ideals, or something. In separable case, we can get states in a more hands-on way. In normed Banach spaces, we don't know that there are states, but, e.g., for  $\ell^1(G)$  or  $\ell^2(G)$ , we can see there are some.

Next time, we will use this result, and dig into the GNS construction.