

****This document was last updated on April 20, 2008. A more recent version may be available as part of <http://math.berkeley.edu/~theojf/CstarAlgebras.pdf>.****

1 February 6, 2008

Any questions? No. Today we will look seriously at positive linear functionals.

1.1 Positive Linear Functionals

If you don't have an identity elements, things are more complicated, and we will have to deal with that; as always, we begin with the unital situation.

Prop: Let A be a unital $*$ -normed algebra. Let μ be a positive linear functional on A . If either

- (a) μ is continuous (with respect to norm). This is situation in many examples.
- (b) A is complete (i.e. a Banach algebra). (If (a) holds, then μ extended to the completion of A , so reduces to (b), whereas if (b) holds, then μ is automatically continuous, as we will show.)

Then $\|\mu\| = \mu(1)$. (In particular, it is continuous.)

Proof:

We assume, by parenthetical remark above, that we are in case 2. above. We do not assume continuity. Certainly $1^* = 1 = 1^* \times 1$, so $\mu(1)$ is a nonnegative real number.

Consider first a with $\|a\| < 1$ and $a = a^*$. Claim: then $1 - a = b^*b$ for some b in A . Why? Consider $\sqrt{1 - z} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic near 0, so has power series $\sum r_n z^n$, converging absolutely and uniformly on any disk about 0 with radius less than 1. Thus $b = \sum r_n a^n$ converges in A , by completeness. The $r_n \in \mathbb{R}$, so $b = b^*$, and $b^2 = 1 - a$.

Then $\mu(1) - \mu(a) = \mu(b^*b) \geq 0$, so $\mu(a) \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\mu(1) \geq \mu(a)$, and also $\mu(1) \geq \mu(-a)$, and hence $\mu(1) \geq |\mu(a)|$.

For general a with $\|a\| < 1$ (but no longer considering $a = a^*$, we consider, using Cauchy-Schwartz (μ is positive, and C.S. does not require definiteness), that

$$|\mu(a)|^2 = |\mu(1a)|^2 = |\langle 1, a \rangle_\mu|^2 \stackrel{\text{C.S.}}{\leq} |\langle 1, 1 \rangle_\mu| |\langle a, a \rangle_\mu| = \mu(1)\mu(a^*a) \leq \mu(1)^2$$

where the last inequality follows from the previous paragraph, since $\|a^*a\| \leq \|a^*\| \|a\|$, and we assume that $*$ is isometric. (This is part of the word “ $*$ -normed”.) This completes the proof ($\|\mu\|$ is the sup of $|\mu(a)|$ for $\|a\| \leq 1$). \square

Prop: Let A be a C^* -algebra with 1, and let μ be a continuous linear functional on A . If $\mu(1) = \|\mu\|$, then μ is positive. I.e., this condition characterizes positivity.

Proof:

Let $a \in A$. We must show that $\mu(a^*a) \geq 0$. We can write $a^*a = b^2$ for some $b = b^*$, so suffice to show that $\mu(b^2) \geq 0$. Let $B = C^*(b, 1) = C(\sigma(b))$, and restrict μ to B . Thus, we can verify the result in the commutative case.

So, we need to show: if $A = C(M)$ with M compact, and μ is a linear functional on A with $\mu(1) = \|\mu\|$, then μ is positive. By dividing, we can assume that $\mu(1) = \|\mu\| = 1$.

If $f^* = f$, then $\mu(f) \in \mathbb{R}$. Why? Let $\mu(f) = \alpha + i\beta$. Then $|\mu(f + it1)|^2 = |\alpha + i(\beta + t)|^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta t + t^2$. On the other hand, $|\mu(f + it)|^2 \leq \|f + it\|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 + t^2$ since f is \mathbb{R} -valued. Then for every t , $\underbrace{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}_{|\mu(f)|^2} + 2\beta t \leq \|f\|^2$. Thus, $\beta = 0$, and we see that $f \geq 0$ implies that

$$\|f - \|f\|1\| \leq \|f\|, \text{ so } |\mu(f) - \|f\|| = |\mu(f - \|f\|1)| \leq \|f\|, \text{ so } \mu(f) \geq 0. \quad \square$$

Theorem: Let A be a C^* -algebra with 1. For any $a \in A$ with $a = a^*$, and for any $\lambda \in \sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, there is a state μ on A such that $\mu(a) = \lambda$.

Proof:

Let $B = C^*(a, 1) = C^*(\sigma(a))$, and let μ_0 on B be the δ -function at λ . Then $\mu_0(a) = \lambda$. Then $\|\mu_0\| = 1 = \mu_0(1)$.

We invoke the Hahn-Banach theorem (big, mysterious, uses Choice). This extended μ_0 to μ on A , with $\|\mu\| = \|\mu_0\|$. But $\|\mu_0\| = 1 = \mu_0(1) = \mu(1)$. So by the previous proposition, $\mu \geq 0$, and hence a state (positive linear functional of norm 1). \square

In the commutative case, we care about this kind of thing because we want, e.g., $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) = C(?)$, where $?$ = maximal ideals, or something. In separable case, we can get states in a more hands-on way. In normed Banach spaces, we don't know that there are states, but, e.g., for $\ell^1(G)$ or $\ell^2(G)$, we can see there are some.

Next time, we will use this result, and dig into the GNS construction.