

**\*\*This document was last updated on April 30, 2008. A more recent version may be available as part of <http://math.berkeley.edu/~theo/f/CstarAlgebras.pdf>.\*\***

## 1: April 30, 2008

Last time we defined a *standard module frame*:

**Theorem:**  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra (or smooth subalgebra), and  $\Xi$  a right  $A$ -module equipped with  $\langle, \rangle_A$  an  $A$ -valued inner product. If  $\Xi$  has a (finite) standard module frame  $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^n$  — i.e. the sum of the corresponding rank-one operators  $\sum \langle \eta_j, \eta_j \rangle_0 = \mathbb{1}_\Xi$ , or equivalently there's a reconstruction formula  $\xi = \sum \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle_A$  — then  $\Xi$  is projective, and in fact “isometric” to a direct summand of  $A^n$  (viewed as a right module), and  $\Xi$  is self-adjoint for  $\langle, \rangle_A$ .

**Proof:**

(Because of our conventions with left and right, everything is simple; using other conventions makes for painful bookkeeping.) Define  $\Phi : \Xi \rightarrow A^n$  by  $\xi \mapsto (\langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle)_{j=1}^n$ . It's clear that  $\Phi$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism. Furthermore, by the reconstruction formula, this is injective. So  $\Xi$  is (equivalent to) a submodule of  $A^n$ , and to show it's projective, we need to show it's a summand. This consists of displaying a projection  $A^n \rightarrow \Xi$ . Let  $P \in M_n(A)$  the matrix algebra, acting on  $A^n$  from the left. Let  $P$  be given by  $P_{jk} = \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (P^2)_{ik} &= \sum_j P_{ij} P_{jk} \\ &= \sum_j \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle_A \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle \eta_i, \sum_j \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A \right\rangle_A \\ &= \langle \eta_i, \eta_k \rangle = P_{ik} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $(P^*)_{ij} = (P_{ji})^* = \langle \eta_j, \eta_i \rangle^* = \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle = P_{ij}$ . So we have a self-adjoint projection. But

$$\begin{aligned} (P(\Phi\xi))_j &= \sum_k P_{jk} (\Phi\xi)_k \\ &= \sum_k \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A \langle \eta_k, \xi \rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle \eta_j, \sum_k \eta_k \langle \eta_k, \xi \rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle = (\Phi\xi)_j \end{aligned}$$

So  $P\Phi = \Phi$ , so  $\Phi(\Xi)$  is contained in the range of  $P$ . On the other hand, if  $v \in A^n$  and if  $v \in \text{range}(P)$ , so  $Pv = v$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &= (Pv)_j \\ &= \sum_k \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle v_k \\ &= \left\langle \eta_j, \underbrace{\sum_k \eta_k v_k}_{\xi} \right\rangle \\ &= (\Phi\xi)_j \end{aligned}$$

So  $P$  is the self-adjoint projection onto  $\Phi(\Xi)$ . And

$$A^n = \underbrace{P(A^n)}_{\cong \Xi} \oplus (1 - P)(A^n)$$

For isometricity, we use the standard inner-product  $\langle a, b \rangle_A = \sum_j a_j^* b_j$  on  $A^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi\xi, \Phi, \zeta \rangle_A &= \sum_j (\Phi\xi)_j^* (\Phi\zeta)_j \\ &= \sum_j \langle \xi, \eta_j \rangle \langle \eta_j, \zeta \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \xi, \sum_j \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \zeta \rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

And last to prove is self-adjointness: Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(\Xi, A_A)$ . Then for  $\xi \in \Xi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\xi) &= \phi\left(\sum \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle\right) \\ &= \sum \phi(\eta_j) \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \underbrace{\sum \eta_j \phi(\eta_j)^*}_{\in \Xi}, \xi \right\rangle \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Question from the audience:** This  $P(A)$  is closed, because it's continuous. So any pre-Hilbert module is a Hilbert module? **Answer:** Certainly if  $A$  is  $C^*$ , yes. But all of this works for any  $*$ -subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra.

The smooth algebra are spectrally invariant: if  $A^\infty \subseteq A$  a  $C^*$ -algebra, and if  $a \in A^\infty$  and  $a$  is invertible in  $A$ , then  $a$  is invertible in  $A^\infty$ . This implies that the spectrum of  $a$  in  $A$  agrees with that in  $A^\infty$ . Hence, we have a good notion of positivity. **E.g.**  $C(T) \supseteq C^\infty(T) \supseteq$  trigonometric polynomials; the MHS is spectrally invariant in the LHS, but the RHS is not, even though it's dense.

Let  $Q$  be any self-adjoint projection in  $A^n$ , and let  $\Xi = Q(A^n)$ . Let  $\{e_j\}$  be the standard basis for  $A^n$ , and  $\eta_j = Qe_j$ . Then  $\{\eta_j\}$  is a standard module frame for  $\Xi$ . Some cultural remarks: Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $\infty$ -dim Hilbert space and  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a self-adjoint projection, and let  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Set  $\eta_j = Qe_j$ ; then  $\{\eta_j\}$  is a “normalized frame” for  $Q\mathcal{H}$ , in the sense that we have a (convergent) reconstruction formula:

$$\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Conversely, given a Hilbert space and a normalized frame, then there exists a bigger Hilbert space so that the frame is the projection of an orthonormal basis.

Let  $A = C(T)$  be the continuous functions on the circle  $T = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ; so  $A$  is the 1-periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then simplest non-trivial vector bundle is the Möbius strip:

$$\{\xi \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \xi(t-1) = -\xi(t)\}$$

This is not a free module. Define the inner product in the obvious way:  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A(t) = \xi(t)\eta(t)$ . Then find a standard module frame. More generally, we can write

$$\Xi_p^- = \{\xi \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \xi(t-p) = -\xi(t)\}$$

$$\Xi_p^+ = \{\xi \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \xi(t-p) = +\xi(t)\}$$

Don't turn these in — there are no more problem sets — but try them anyway.

**Question from the audience:** Do you want a bar somewhere? **Answer:** No, over real numbers. Over the complex numbers, the Möbius bundle is trivial. Do that example too.