

1 February 13, 2008

The first problem set is given out today. It's elementary, but explores positivity to prevent against wrong intuitions. Due next week.

For the homework, some notation: e.g. a^+ for a in a C^* -algebra is just the positive part of the function $a \in C^*(a, 1) = C(M)$.

1.1 We continue the discussion from last time

Prop: Let A be a $*$ -normed algebra with two-sided approximate identity e_λ of norm 1. Let μ be a continuous positive linear functional on A . Then

- (a) $\mu(a^*) = \overline{\mu(a)}$
- (b) $|\mu(a)|^2 \leq \|\mu\| |\mu(a^*a)|$

Proof:

- (a) $\mu(a^*) = \lim \mu(a^* e_\lambda) = \lim \langle e_\lambda, a \rangle_\mu = \lim \overline{\langle a, e_\lambda \rangle} = \lim \overline{\mu(e_\lambda^* a)} = \overline{\mu(a)}.$
- (b) $\underbrace{|\mu(e^* a)|^2}_{\rightarrow |\mu(a)|^2} = |\langle a, e_\lambda \rangle_\mu|^2 \stackrel{C.S.}{\leq} \langle a, a \rangle_\mu \langle e_\lambda, e_\lambda \rangle_\mu = \mu(a^* a) \mu(e_\lambda^* e_\lambda) \leq \mu(a^* a) \|\mu\|.$

□

Question from the audience: Do we assume positivity of e_λ ? Self-adjointness? **Answer:** Positivity only makes sense in a C^* -algebra. We can assume self-adjointness: if e_λ is two-sided, then e_λ^* is also a two-sided approximate identity, so $(e_\lambda + e_\lambda^*)/2$ is a self-adjoint approximate identity.

Prop: Let A be a $*$ -normed algebra (without identity). Let μ be a positive linear functional on A . Suppose that μ satisfies the two results of the previous proposition. Let \tilde{A} be A with 1 adjoined, and define $\tilde{\mu}$ on \tilde{A} by $\tilde{\mu}(a + z1) = \mu(a) + z\|\mu\|$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is positive.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mu}((a+z1)^*(a+z1)) &= \mu(a^*a) + \mu(a^*z) + \mu(\bar{z}a) + \mu(\bar{z}z) \\
&= \mu(a^*a) + z \underbrace{\mu(a^*)}_{=\overline{\mu(a)}} + \bar{z}\mu(a) + |z|^2\|\mu\| \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{2\Re(\bar{z}\mu(a))} \\
&\geq \mu(a^*a) - 2|z|\|\mu(a)\| + |z|^2\|\mu\| \\
&\geq \mu(a^*a) - 2|z|\|\mu\|^{1/2}\mu(a^*a)^{1/2} + |z|^2\|\mu\| \\
&= \left(\mu(a^*a)^{1/2} - |z|\|\mu\|^{1/2}\right)^2 \\
&\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

□

Cor: Let A be a $*$ -normed algebra with approximate identity of norm 1. Let μ be a continuous positive linear functional on A . Define $\tilde{\mu}$ on \tilde{A} by $\tilde{\mu}(a+z1) = \mu(a) + z\|\mu\|$. Then $\tilde{\mu} \geq 0$.

Theorem: Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \xi_0)$ be the GNS representation for $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\mu}$. Then when π is restricted to A , we might worry that it is degenerate. But in fact it is non-degenerate. In particular, $\xi_0 \in \overline{\text{span}\{\pi(A)\mathcal{H}\}}$. Also, $\mu(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi_*, \xi_* \rangle$.

Proof:

Let $\{a_j\}$ be a sequence of states of A such that $\|a_j\| \leq 1$ and $\mu(a_j) \rightarrow \|\mu\|$. Then view these in the GNS Hilbert space.

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \underbrace{\xi_{a_j}}_{=a_j \text{ in } \mathcal{H}} - \xi_* \|^2 &= \langle \xi_{a_j} - \xi_*, \xi_{a_j} - \xi_* \rangle_{\tilde{\mu}} = \mu(a_j^*a_j) - \mu(a_j^*) - \mu(a_j) + \tilde{\mu}(1) \\
&\leq \|\mu\| - \overline{\mu(a_j)} - \mu(a_j) + \|\mu\| \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

□

This completes the GNS business.

1.2 Irreducible representations

Prop (do earlier): Let A be a $*$ -algebra with 1 (or really a $*$ -set, e.g. a group where $x^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^{-1}$). Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be a non-degenerate representation of A (i.e. it assigns $*$ to adjoint). Then (π, \mathcal{H}) is the direct sum (possibly vast) of cyclic representations.

Definition: A subspace \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H} is π -invariant if $\pi(A)\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

Prop: If \mathcal{K} is π -invariant, then so is \mathcal{K}^\perp . So $\pi = \pi|_{\mathcal{K}} \oplus \pi|_{\mathcal{K}^\perp}$.

Proof:

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$, $a \in A$, with $\pi(a)\xi \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $\langle \pi(a)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \underbrace{\pi(a^*)\eta}_{\in \mathcal{K}} \rangle = 0$. \square

So we have a sort of “semi-simplicity”.

Proof of “do earlier” Prop:

Choose $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\xi \neq 0$. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be $\overline{\pi(A)\xi}$. This is obviously π -invariant (by continuity). So \mathcal{H}_1^\perp is π -invariant. Choose $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$, $\xi_2 \neq 0$, so $\mathcal{H}_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\pi(A)\xi_2}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_2 \perp \mathcal{H}_1$. Then $(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)^\perp$ is π -invariant. Choose ξ_3, \dots . Really, use Zorn. \square

In the separable case, we choose a countable dense “basis”, and work with that sequence, eschewing Choice.