

****This document was last updated on April 30, 2008. A more recent version may be available as part of <http://math.berkeley.edu/~theo/f/CstarAlgebras.pdf>.****

1: April 30, 2008

Last time we defined a *standard module frame*:

Theorem: A is a unital C^* -algebra (or smooth subalgebra), and Ξ a right A -module equipped with \langle, \rangle_A an A -valued inner product. If Ξ has a (finite) standard module frame $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ — i.e. the sum of the corresponding rank-one operators $\sum \langle \eta_j, \eta_j \rangle_0 = \mathbb{1}_\Xi$, or equivalently there's a reconstruction formula $\xi = \sum \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle_A$ — then Ξ is projective, and in fact “isometric” to a direct summand of A^n (viewed as a right module), and Ξ is self-adjoint for \langle, \rangle_A .

Proof:

(Because of our conventions with left and right, everything is simple; using other conventions makes for painful bookkeeping.) Define $\Phi : \Xi \rightarrow A^n$ by $\xi \mapsto (\langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle)_{j=1}^n$. It's clear that Φ is an A -module homomorphism. Furthermore, by the reconstruction formula, this is injective. So Ξ is (equivalent to) a submodule of A^n , and to show it's projective, we need to show it's a summand. This consists of displaying a projection $A^n \rightarrow \Xi$. Let $P \in M_n(A)$ the matrix algebra, acting on A^n from the left. Let P be given by $P_{jk} = \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (P^2)_{ik} &= \sum_j P_{ij} P_{jk} \\ &= \sum_j \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle_A \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle \eta_i, \sum_j \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A \right\rangle_A \\ &= \langle \eta_i, \eta_k \rangle = P_{ik} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(P^*)_{ij} = (P_{ji})^* = \langle \eta_j, \eta_i \rangle^* = \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle = P_{ij}$. So we have a self-adjoint projection. But

$$\begin{aligned} (P(\Phi\xi))_j &= \sum_k P_{jk} (\Phi\xi)_k \\ &= \sum_k \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_A \langle \eta_k, \xi \rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle \eta_j, \sum_k \eta_k \langle \eta_k, \xi \rangle_A \right\rangle_A \\ &= \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle = (\Phi\xi)_j \end{aligned}$$

So $P\Phi = \Phi$, so $\Phi(\Xi)$ is contained in the range of P . On the other hand, if $v \in A^n$ and if $v \in \text{range}(P)$, so $Pv = v$, then

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &= (Pv)_j \\ &= \sum_k \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle v_k \\ &= \left\langle \eta_j, \underbrace{\sum_k \eta_k v_k}_{\xi} \right\rangle \\ &= (\Phi\xi)_j \end{aligned}$$

So P is the self-adjoint projection onto $\Phi(\Xi)$. And

$$A^n = \underbrace{P(A^n)}_{\cong \Xi} \oplus (1 - P)(A^n)$$

For isometricity, we use the standard inner-product $\langle a, b \rangle_A = \sum_j a_j^* b_j$ on A^n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi\xi, \Phi, \zeta \rangle_A &= \sum_j (\Phi\xi)_j^* (\Phi\zeta)_j \\ &= \sum_j \langle \xi, \eta_j \rangle \langle \eta_j, \zeta \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \xi, \sum_j \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \zeta \rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

And last to prove is self-adjointness: Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(\Xi, A_A)$. Then for $\xi \in \Xi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\xi) &= \phi\left(\sum \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle\right) \\ &= \sum \phi(\eta_j) \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \underbrace{\sum \eta_j \phi(\eta_j)^*}_{\in \Xi}, \xi \right\rangle \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Question from the audience: This $P(A)$ is closed, because it's continuous. So any pre-Hilbert module is a Hilbert module? **Answer:** Certainly if A is C^* , yes. But all of this works for any $*$ -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra.

The smooth algebra are spectrally invariant: if $A^\infty \subseteq A$ a C^* -algebra, and if $a \in A^\infty$ and a is invertible in A , then a is invertible in A^∞ . This implies that the spectrum of a in A agrees with that in A^∞ . Hence, we have a good notion of positivity. **E.g.** $C(T) \supseteq C^\infty(T) \supseteq$ trigonometric polynomials; the MHS is spectrally invariant in the LHS, but the RHS is not, even though it's dense.

Let Q be any self-adjoint projection in A^n , and let $\Xi = Q(A^n)$. Let $\{e_j\}$ be the standard basis for A^n , and $\eta_j = Qe_j$. Then $\{\eta_j\}$ is a standard module frame for Ξ . Some cultural remarks: Let \mathcal{H} be an ∞ -dim Hilbert space and $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint projection, and let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Set $\eta_j = Qe_j$; then $\{\eta_j\}$ is a “normalized frame” for $Q\mathcal{H}$, in the sense that we have a (convergent) reconstruction formula:

$$\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j \langle \eta_j, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Conversely, given a Hilbert space and a normalized frame, then there exists a bigger Hilbert space so that the frame is the projection of an orthonormal basis.

Let $A = C(T)$ be the continuous functions on the circle $T = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$; so A is the 1-periodic functions on \mathbb{R} . Then simplest non-trivial vector bundle is the Möbius strip:

$$\{\xi \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \xi(t-1) = -\xi(t)\}$$

This is not a free module. Define the inner product in the obvious way: $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A(t) = \xi(t)\eta(t)$. Then find a standard module frame. More generally, we can write

$$\Xi_p^- = \{\xi \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \xi(t-p) = -\xi(t)\}$$

$$\Xi_p^+ = \{\xi \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \xi(t-p) = +\xi(t)\}$$

Don’t turn these in — there are no more problem sets — but try them anyway.

Question from the audience: Do you want a bar somewhere? **Answer:** No, over real numbers. Over the complex numbers, the Möbius bundle is trivial. Do that example too.