

## Problem Set 2

Theo Johnson-Freyd

### A. Fields of $C^*$ -algebras.

- B. An important extension theorem.** *Prove that if  $I$  is a  $*$ -ideal of a  $*$ -normed algebra  $A$ , and if  $I$  has an approximate identity of norm one for itself, then every non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $I$  extends uniquely to a non-degenerate representation of  $A$ .*

We begin with uniqueness, as that usually tells us how to do a construction. Recall that  $(\phi, \mathcal{H})$  a representation on  $I$  is non-degenerate if  $\text{span}\{\phi(j)\xi : j \in I, \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . But then if continuous  $T, S$  satisfy  $T\phi(j)\xi = S\phi(j)\xi$  for every  $j$  and  $\xi$ , then  $T = S$ ; we can clearly strip the  $\xi$  from this. So let  $\sigma, \tau : A \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$  be representations, with  $\sigma|_I = \tau|_I$  non-degenerate. Then  $\sigma(a)\sigma(j) = \sigma(aj) = \sigma(aj) = \tau(a)\tau(j) = \tau(a)\sigma(j)$  for every  $a \in A, j \in I$ , so  $\sigma = \tau$  as functions on  $A$ .

Conversely, if  $\phi : I \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$  is non-degenerate (and continuous), and  $I$  has an approximate identity  $e_\lambda$ , then  $\phi(e_\lambda)\phi(j) = \phi(e_\lambda j) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \phi(j)$  for every  $j \in I$ , so  $\phi(e_\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . We extend

$\phi$  to  $A$  by  $\phi(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\lambda} \phi(ae_\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda} \phi(e_\lambda a)$  (the last equality follows from some expeditious limit-swapping). The check that this is a homomorphism is immediate, and non-degeneracy is trivial since it is there restricted to  $I$ .

- C. The non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.** *Let  $M(A)$  denote the set of double centralizers of  $A$ , i.e. pairs  $(S, T)$  of operators on  $A$  so that for all  $a, c \in A$ , we have  $S(ac) = S(a)c, T(ac) = aT(c)$ , and  $aS(c) = T(a)c$ .*

1. *Using the example of  $A$  as ideal in  $B$  as motivation, define operations on  $M(A)$  making it into an algebra, with a homomorphism of  $A$  onto an ideal of  $M(A)$ .*

If  $(S, T)$  and  $(S', T')$  are double centralizers, then by linearity  $(S + S', T + T')$  is also a double centralizer, as is any product of  $(S, T)$  by an element of the center  $Z(A)$  of  $A$ . We can multiply double centralizers by  $(S, T)(S', T') = (SS', T'T)$ , where the inner multiplication is composition as operators. (This ordering agrees with the ordering in the example of  $B$  acting on  $A \subseteq B$  as an ideal.)

For any  $a \in A$ , the pair of left- and right-multipliers  $(L_a, R_a) : b \mapsto (ab, ba)$  is a double centralizer, and the addition and multiplication in  $M(A)$  is such that we have a

homomorphism  $A \rightarrow M(A)$  by  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ . This is an ideal:

$$\begin{aligned}
(S, T)(L_a, R_a)(b) &= (SL_a, R_aT)(b) \\
&= (S(L_a(b)), R_a(T(b))) \\
&= (S(ab), T(b)a) \\
&= (S(a)b, aS(b)) \\
&= (L_{S(a)}, R_{S(a)})(b) \\
\text{hence } (S, T)(L_a, R_a) &= (L_{S(a)}, R_{S(a)}) \\
(L_a, R_a)(S, T)(b) &= (L_a(S(b)), T(R_a(b))) \\
&= (aS(b), T(ba)) \\
&= (T(a)b, bT(a)) \\
\text{hence } (L_a, R_a)(S, T) &= (L_{T(a)}, R_{T(a)})
\end{aligned}$$

Unless  $A$  has elements  $a \neq 0$  so that for every  $b \in A$ ,  $ab = ba = 0$ , this map is injective.

2. *Show that if  $A$  is a Banach algebra with approximate identity of norm one, and if we require  $S$  and  $T$  to be continuous (which actually is automatic), then  $M(A)$  can be made into a Banach algebra in which  $A$  sits isometrically as an essential ideal. Show that if  $A$  is a  $*$ -Banach algebra, then its involution extends uniquely to make  $M(A)$  a  $*$ -algebra. Note then that the theorem of problem B. above says that every nondegenerate  $*$ -representation of  $A$  extends to  $M(A)$ .*

If  $A$  is Banach with two-sided approximate identity  $\{e_\lambda\}$  of norm 1, then we take

$$\|(S, T)\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\lambda} \|S(e_\lambda)\|$$

Let  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  be two variables ranging over the index of the approximate identity. Then, assuming  $S$  and  $T$  commutative:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_\mu S(e_\lambda)\|_A &\xrightarrow{\mu} \|S(e_\lambda)\|_A \xrightarrow{\lambda} \|S\|_{M(A)} \\
&\parallel \\
\|T(e_\mu)e_\lambda\|_A &\xrightarrow{\lambda} \|T(e_\mu)\|_A \xrightarrow{\mu} \|T\|_{M(A)}
\end{aligned}$$

This justifies the choice in the definition of the norm on  $M(A)$ . We also have the Banach condition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|SS'\| &= \lim_{\lambda} \|S(S'(e_\lambda))\|_A = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \|S(e_\mu S'(e_\lambda))\|_A = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \|S(e_\mu)S'(e_\lambda)\|_A \\
&\leq \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \|S(e_\mu)\|_A \|S'(e_\lambda)\|_A = \|S\| \|S'\|
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, under  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ , we have  $\|L_a\| = \lim_{\lambda} \|ae_\lambda\|_A = \|a\|_A$ , so  $A \hookrightarrow M(A)$  isometrically. We observe that  $S(e_\lambda)a \xrightarrow{\lambda} S(a)$  and  $aT(e_\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda} T(a)$ .

We must check that  $M(A)$  is closed under this norm. But if  $(S_n, T_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence, then for any  $a$  for large enough  $\lambda$ ,  $\|(S_m - S_n)a\| \approx \|(S_m(e_\lambda) - S_n(e_\lambda))a\| \leq \|S_m(e_\lambda) - S_n(e_\lambda)\| \|a\| \approx \|S_m - S_n\| \|a\|$ . Hence  $S_n(a)$  is a Cauchy sequence, as is  $T_n(a)$ , and it is easy to check that  $(S_\infty, T_\infty) : a \mapsto (\lim_n S_n(a), \lim_n T_n(a))$  is a double centralizer.

When  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra, we define  $S^* : b \mapsto (S(b^*))^*$ ; then we have an involution on  $M(A)$  given by  $(S, T)^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (T^*, S^*)$ . It's trivial to check that this is also a double centralizer, and that, if  $A$  is  $*$ -Banach, then  $\|S\| = \|S^*\|$ .

3. Show that if  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then so is  $M(A)$ .

We have only to check the  $C^*$  identity that  $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S, T)^*(S, T)\| &= \|(T^*S, TS^*)\| = \lim_{\lambda} \|T^*(S(e_\lambda))\| = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \|T^*(e_\mu S(e_\lambda))\| \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \|T^*(e_\mu)S(e_\lambda)\| \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $T^*(e_\mu) = (T(e_\mu^*))^*$ , and  $e_\nu^*$  is a two-sided approximate identity, so  $S(e_\lambda) = \lim_{\nu} e_\nu^* S(e_\lambda) = T(e_\nu^*) e_\lambda$ . So, using the  $C^*$  identity in  $A$ , and taking a limit along  $\mu = \nu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S, T)^*(S, T)\| &= \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \|T^*(e_\mu)S(e_\lambda)\| = \lim_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \|(T(e_\mu^*))^* T(e_\nu^*) e_\lambda\| \\ &= \lim_{\mu} \|(T(e_\mu^*))^*\| \|T(e_\mu^*)\| = \|T^*\| \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

4. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $X = A_A$  as a right  $A$ -module, with  $A$ -valued inner product as defined in class. Let  $B_A(X)$  be the algebra of all continuous (which actually is automatic)  $A$ -module endomorphisms of  $X$  that have a continuous enomorphism as adjoint for the  $A$ -valued inner product (which is not automatic). Show that in a very natural way  $M(A) = B_A(X)$ .

Ignoring issues of continuity, this is exactly an unpacking of definitions. The inner product on  $X$  is that  $\langle a, b \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab \in A$ ; then  $S \in B_A(X)$  if it is (1) a module map, i.e.  $S(ab) = S(a)b$ , and (2) if there is a left-adjoint  $T$ , i.e.  $aS(b) = \langle a, S(b) \rangle = \langle T(a), b \rangle = T(a)b$ . Thus,  $S \in B_A(X)$  with adjoint  $T$  if and only if  $(S, T) \in M(A)$ , and the multiplication agrees in the two settings.

5. For  $A$  a  $C^*$ -algebra, show that if  $B$  is any  $C^*$ -algebra in which  $A$  sits as an essential ideal, then  $B$  can be identified as a subalgebra of  $M(A)$ , so  $M(A)$  is maximal in this sense.

If  $A \hookrightarrow B$  as an ideal, then  $b \in B$  acts from the right and left as endomorphisms of  $A$ : i.e.  $b \mapsto (L_b, R_b)$  is a homomorphism  $B \rightarrow M(A)$ . If  $A$  is an essential ideal of  $B$ , then there is no  $b \in B$  so that  $L_b$  and  $R_b$  both act as the zero map on  $A$ , and so this homomorphism  $B \rightarrow M(A)$  is an injection.

6. Determine  $M(A)$  when  $A = C_\infty(X)$ , and when  $A = \mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{H})$ , the algebra of compact operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

When  $A = C_\infty(X)$ , we expect  $M(A) = C(\beta X)$ , where  $\beta X$  is the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$ . Indeed, since  $M(A)$  is unital (we take  $1_{M(A)} = (\mathbb{1}_A, \mathbb{1}_A)$  to be the pair of identity operators on  $A$ ). If  $A$  is commutative (and, say, Banach with approximate identities) then, since  $S(ab) = S(a)b = bS(a) = T(b)a = aT(b) = T(ab)$ , so  $S = T$  and  $(S, S)(S', S') = (SS', S'S)$ , so  $SS' = S'S$  and  $M(A)$  is also commutative. Thus, if  $A = C_\infty(X)$ , then  $M(A)$  is commutative with unit, so it's  $C(Y)$  for some compact  $Y$ , and the universal property in the previous subproblems translates to the universal property of  $\beta X$ . We can describe this algebra another way:  $M(C_\infty(X)) = C(\beta(X)) = C_b(X)$  is the algebra of bounded functions on  $X$ .

As for the  $A = \mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{H})$  case:  $\mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{H}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (all bounded operators) as an essential ideal, and this later algebra has a unit. Thus  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq M(\mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{H}))$ . Conversely, a continuous centralizing pair on  $\mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{H})$  is determined by its action on the rank-1 operators, which are in nice correspondence with  $\mathcal{H}$ , so  $M(\mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{H})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

#### D. Morphisms.

1. Give a characterization of those homomorphisms from  $C_\infty(Y)$  to  $C_b(X)$  which arise from maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  (“morphisms”). Your characterization should be phrased so that it makes sense for non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras. (Hint: recall the definition of a representation being non-degenerate.)

Given  $Y \xleftarrow{\phi} X$ , the usual pull-back map  $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$  on functions takes bounded functions to bounded functions. Thus, the map in the exercise is in fact  $C_\infty(Y) \hookrightarrow C_b(Y) \rightarrow C_b(X)$ . (Of course, the example of  $[0, 1] \hookrightarrow (0, 1)$  shows that we cannot achieve  $C_\infty(X)$  as the range of any such pull-back, except if  $X$  is compact.) From the previous exercise, we recognize  $C_b(X) = C(\beta X) = M(C_\infty(X))$  is the algebra of functions on the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$ . Of course, by the universal property, any map  $Y \leftarrow X$  extends uniquely to a map  $\beta Y \leftarrow \beta X$ . In any case, to understand homomorphisms  $C_\infty(Y) \rightarrow C_b(X)$  that arise from continuous maps, we need only to understand them in the compact case.

When  $X$  is compact, we have the following dictionary, via Algebraic Geometry, between  $X$  and  $C(X)$ :

Topology	Algebra
closed set $S \subseteq X$	primary ideal $\mathcal{J}_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \text{ s.t. } f _S = 0\} \subseteq C(X)$
point $x \in X$	maximal ideal $\mathcal{J}_x \subseteq C(X)$

Then  $C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$  is a map  $Y \leftarrow X$  of points only if the inverse image of a maximal ideal is maximal. In this case, a partition-of-unity argument shows that any function of points  $Y \leftarrow X$  that takes all continuous functions to continuous functions  $C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$  is automatically continuous.

In the non-commutative case, the correct generalization of “maximal” (i.e. the kernel of an irreducible one-dimensional representation) is “primitive” (the kernel of an irreducible representation), so we define a *morphism* from  $A$  ( $C^*$  with unit) to  $B$  ( $C^*$ , probably non-unital) to be a homomorphism  $B \rightarrow A$  that extends to a homomorphism  $M(B) \rightarrow A$ , for which the inverse image of any primitive ideal is primitive. If  $A$  is not unital, then by passing to  $M(A)$  we’ve described maps into the Stone-Čech compactification. Pushing functions forward is hard, so I’m not likely to do better.

2. *For the non-commutative case explain how to compose morphisms.*

The condition that “the pull-back of an orange ideal is orange” is preserved under composition of homomorphisms, for any value of “orange”.