

- (4) Look for grammatically incorrect sentences, and mark them as you see them. Be on particular lookout for missing or repeated words, misplaced punctuation, subject/verb disagreement, and run-on sentences. Note also other errors of usage, mechanics, and formatting. For example, are references correctly cited, and listed in a correctly-formatted bibliography?
- (5) List at least one thing you like about the paper's style/voice/diction. List at least one sentence that could be usefully rewritten to improve its style/voice/diction.

(6) Finally, if you disagree with the author's arguments, tell them so, and explain why.

When everyone has read all the papers, be sure to spend some time discussing the ideas presented.

DUE BY THURSDAY

By next time (Thursday, May 1), please send a second copy of your paper to `theojf@math.northwestern.edu`, with revisions as necessary. I expect that many of you will not need to make many revisions — the versions you wrote for today were generally good — but I will “grade” whatever you send me on Thursday.

In that same email, include a list of all revisions made. Equally importantly, there may be revisions suggested by your reviewers that you choose not to make — for each of these, include a sentence about why you did not make that revision.

Please also turn in these review worksheets on Thursday.