GRADING RUBRIC

MATH 105-6: FRESHMAN SEMINAR: THEORIES OF MIND AND MATHEMATICS PROF. THEO JOHNSON-FREYD 1 , NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, FALL 2015

Criterion	A	В	C	D/F
Message and	Your central message is per-	Your central message is gener-	Your central message has some	There is not much of a central
Argument	suasive, eloquent, and mem-	ally persuasive, reflecting a re-	evidence backing it up, but	message here. This reads more
	orable, reflecting an ambi-	sponsible engagement with the	generally it is not sharp	as a "collection of thoughts."
	tious and inventive approach	material. You clarify what is	enough: it suggests some of	
	to the ideas. You do not	at stake, conceptually, in the	the concepts at play, but	
	shy away from complications	ideas discussed.	leaves them unfocused in some	
	in your argument, but rather		way. Your essay does not	
	make use of those complica-		develop its points effectively,	
	tions, over the course of your		and/or does not pay enough	
	essay, to make your argument		attention to alternative lines	
	more subtle. You not only		of thought, and/or may have	
	clarify what is at stake, con-		flaws in its reasoning.	
	ceptually, but you give a re-			
	freshing new perspective on			
	these stakes.			
Use and	Your essay moves between the	g g	Your essay suffers from an im-	There is a debilitating imbal-
Presentation	presentation of evidence and	idence to flesh out its mes-	balance between the presenta-	ance here between the presen-
of Evidence	the interpretation of its mean-	sage and arguments. At cru-	tion of evidence and the inter-	tation of evidence and its in-
	ing. You describe your evi-	cial points in your essay, you	pretation of its meaning. Per-	terpretation. There is far too
	dence in rich detail, and imag-	pay close attention to formal	haps you treat your evidence	much interpretation without
	inatively handle its many as-	aspects and delve into the lay-	as "self-evident," presenting it	much in the way of support, or
	pects. You make sophisti-	ers of meaning present. A bit	without much further interpre-	there is far too much evidence
	cated, convincing use of de-	too often, perhaps, you remain	tation. Perhaps you "cherry	presented without much inter-
	tails, and are alert and re-	on the level of repeating as-	pick" ideas and go on extended	pretation.
	sponsive to ambiguities, multi-	sertions, summarizing your ev-	riffs, without grounding those	
	ple meanings, and alternative	idence without further inter-	riffs in specific evidence.	
	lines of interpretation.	pretation.		

2 GRADING RUBRIC

Criterion	A	В	C	D/F
Structure	The structure of your essay	The structure of your essay is	The structure of your essay	The structure of your essay is
and	is impressive. From para-	effective. Points are developed	is perhaps a bit mechanical,	unclear. Its points don't have
Organization	graph to paragraph, you sus-	and sequenced in a clear, log-	with an introduction, body,	a purposeful sense of order,
	tain your argument's momen-	ical, and strategically appro-	and conclusions, and points	and its paragraphs may not be
	tum. Within paragraphs, your	priate way. You provide guid-	arranged in a perceptible way.	adequately structured.
	thinking is clear and nuanced.	ance to the reader as to where	There may by irrelevant points	
	Digressions are not gratuitous	you're going.	or non-functional digressions.	
	but tactical, reinforcing or		The structure may not be	
	complicating your argument.		clearly sign-posted; the title	
	Your title, introduction, and		and introduction may not pro-	
	sign-posting make the main		vide enough guidance, or the	
	message and organization of		paragraphs may have mislead-	
	your essay immediately appar-		ing internal structure. You	
	ent.		may seem to be writing one	
			paper at the beginning of the	
			essay and another paper at	
			other moments.	
Style and	Your style — your diction,	Your style is clear, accessi-	Your style is more or less	Your style has serious prob-
Mechanics	sentence structures, use of fig-	ble, and appropriate, with few	clear and readable, but slips at	lems of readability or appro-
	ures, overall "voice" — is flu-	or no grammatical errors that	times. There may be periodic	priateness. It may have too-
	ent, graceful, and even strik-	would impede readability or	grammatical errors or stylis-	frequent lapses in grammar
	ing. It adds memorability,	detract from your credibility.	tic problems (e.g. imprecise	and punctuation.
	pleasure, and persuasiveness		diction or overabstract phras-	
	to what is being said.		ing) that disrupt the intelli-	
			gibility of what's being said,	
			detract from your credibility,	
			and weaken the essay's overall	
			persuasiveness.	

Self-grading assignment

By next time (Thursday, October 1), please send a short email to theojf@math.northwestern.edu with your honest appraisal of your first essay. How would you grade yourself against this rubric? What techniques will you keep in future essays, and what will you improve?

¹This rubric is based very closely on rubrics I received from colleagues at UC Berkeley.