

Modular operads: Exercises Sheet 4

Lukas Müller

Modular envelope

1. Show that U_f defined in the lecture is symmetric monoidal.
2. Show that π_0 of the Cat-valued modular envelope is the left adjoint of the restriction to cyclic operads.
3. What is π_0 of the modular envelope of the framed E_2 operad?
4. Use the previous exercise to prove that 2-dimensional **Vect**-valued TQFTs are classified by symmetric commutative Frobenius algebras.
5. (★) The goal of this exercise is to explain the connection between the modular envelope and dihedral homology.
 - (a) Denote by $\mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^a(T)$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}(T)$ spanned by graphs containing no vertex of valence one. Show that for any corolla T , the inclusion $\iota : \mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^a(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}(T)$ is homotopy final.¹
 - (b) For any corolla T and a non-negative integer g , denote by $\mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^{a,g}(T) \subset \mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^a(T)$ the full subcategory spanned by graphs whose first Betti number is g . Then $\mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^{a,g}(T)$ is connected and

$$\mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^a(T) = \bigsqcup_{g \geq 0} \mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^{a,g}(T) .$$

As an immediate consequence, we find

$$|U_f \mathcal{O}|(T) \simeq \bigsqcup_{g \geq 0} |U_f^g \mathcal{O}|(T)$$

with $U_f^g \mathcal{O}(T) := \int (\mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^{a,g}(T) \subset \mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}(T) \rightarrow \text{Forests} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\text{Cat}) .$

We call $U_f^g \mathcal{O}(T)$ the *genus g contribution* to $U_f \mathcal{O}(T)$. Let \bullet be the corolla with no legs. Show that the category $\mathbf{Gr}_{\text{conn}}^{a,1}(\bullet)$ is equivalent to the dihedral category without degeneracies defined as follows: Recall that *Connes' cyclic category* Λ is the category with objects \mathbb{N}_0 ; we denote the object corresponding to $n \geq 0$ by $[n]$. A morphism $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ is given by an equivalence class of functions $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(i+n+1) = f(i)+m+1$ modulo the relation $f \sim g$ if $f-g$ is a constant multiple of $m+1$. The category Λ contains the simplex category Δ as subcategory. As generating morphisms, it has the face and degeneracy maps that we already

¹A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called *homotopy final* if the realization $|(d/F)|$ of the nerve of the slice of F under any $d \in \mathcal{D}$ is contractible. This implies that F preserves homotopy colimits.

know from the simplex category Δ and the *cyclic permutations* $\tau_n : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ represented by maps $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that shift by one. The cyclic permutations fulfill, besides the obvious relation $\tau_n^{n+1} = \text{id}_{[n]}$, further compatibility relations with the face and degeneracy maps. We denote by $\vec{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ the subcategory of the cyclic category without degeneracy maps. The category Λ has a natural action of \mathbb{Z}_2 through the *reversal functor* $r : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ which is the identity on objects and sends any morphism $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ in Λ to $r(f) : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ given by $(r(f))(p) := m - f(n - p)$. We denote by $\Lambda \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ the Grothendieck construction of the functor $B\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ from the groupoid with one object and automorphism group \mathbb{Z}_2 to the category \mathbf{Cat} of categories sending $*$ to Λ and the generator $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ to the reversal functor $r : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ (recall that the Grothendieck construction $\int F$ of a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ is the category of pairs (c, x) formed by all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in F(c)$). The category $\Lambda \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ can be identified with the *dihedral category*. Restriction to $\vec{\Lambda}$ yields a functor $\vec{r} : \vec{\Lambda} \rightarrow \vec{\Lambda}$. This allows us to define $\vec{\Lambda} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, the *semidihedral category*, also via a Grothendieck construction. We can see $(\vec{\Lambda} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^{\text{op}}$ as the Grothendieck construction of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on $\vec{\Lambda}^{\text{op}}$ through the functor $\vec{r}^{\text{op}} : \vec{\Lambda}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \vec{\Lambda}^{\text{op}}$ induced by r . In other words, $(\vec{\Lambda} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^{\text{op}} = \vec{\Lambda}^{\text{op}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Similarly, $(\Lambda \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^{\text{op}} = \Lambda^{\text{op}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

- (c) Functors out of the opposite categories of $\Lambda \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\vec{\Lambda} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ are called *dihedral objects* and *semidihedral objects*, respectively. Give a more explicit description of dihedral objects. Construct a dihedral object from an algebra with anti-involution. Show that the operations $\mathcal{O}(T_1)$ of a cyclic operad form a semidihedral object. We call it $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathcal{O}}$.
- (d) For a semidihedral object $A : (\vec{\Lambda} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ in spaces we define the dihedral homology as $\text{DH}(A) = \text{hocolim}_{[n] \in \vec{\Lambda}^{\text{op}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2} A([n])$. Show that $|U_f \mathcal{O}^1(\bullet)| \cong \text{DH} |\mathfrak{U}_{\mathcal{O}}|$.

Open 2D field theories

1. Let Z be a \mathbf{Vect} valued open 2d TQFT. Compute the value of Z on the following bordisms:
 - (a) $S^1 \times [0, 1]$ with no marked boundary intervals
 - (b) $S^1 \times [0, 1]$ with two marked boundary intervals (for all possible positions of those)
 - (c) Genus g surfaces with n free boundary components and one interval.
 - (d) Is there a way of building a closed 2-dimensional field theory from an open one?
2. ($\star\star$) Look up/ work out the details missing in the proof $\mathcal{O} \cong |U_f \text{As}|$
3. What is the mapping class group of an annulus with a marked interval in both of its boundary components? How can you realize the elements of this group using ribbon graphs?
4. A Frobenius algebra is called Δ -separable if the composition $\Delta \circ \mu$ is the identity. What does this mean for the corresponding open TQFT. Can you use this to define a closed TQFT?

5. (★) What can you say about unoriented open TQFTs?