

1. Schrödinger v. Heisenberg quantum mechanics

Schrödinger	textbook Heisenberg	improved Heisenberg	Schrödinger → Heisenberg functor “End”
“Hilbert” space V	assoc algebra A	assoc algebra A	$A = \text{End}(V)$ (e.g. bounded operators)
$u_t : V \rightarrow V, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ s.t. $u_{t_1+t_2} = u_{t_1} u_{t_2}$	autos $f_t : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ s.t. $f_{t_1+t_2} = f_{t_1} f_{t_2}$	pointed bimodules s.t. group law	${}_A A_A$ with left action twisted by $a \mapsto u_t a u_1^{-1}$ \cong usual ${}_A A_A$ but pointed by u_t .
distinguished $v_i \in V,$ $w_j \in V^*, a_k : V \rightarrow V$???	distinguished pointed (bi)modules	${}_A V$ pointed by $v_i,$ $(V^*)_A$ pointed by $w_j,$ ${}_A A_A$ pointed by a_k

2. Non-affine quantum spaces

Defn: $\text{Vect}_0 =$ ground ring. $\text{Vect}_1 = \text{Vect}$ or DGVect .
 $\text{Vect}_2 =$ appropriate 2-category of linear categories.

E.g.: I like strict categories. For me $\text{Vect}_0 = \mathbb{K},$
 $\text{Vect}_1 = \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{K}},$ and $\text{Vect}_2 = \text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}} =$ the bicategory of
 \mathbb{K} -linear locally presentable categories with 1-morphisms
 $= \mathbb{K}$ -linear cocontinuous functors.

Defn: Let X be a “space” (scheme or manifold or ...).
 $\mathcal{O}_k(X) =$ symmetric-monoidal k -category of functions
 $X \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k.$ **E.g.:** $\mathcal{O}_0(X) = \mathcal{O}(X) =$ usual algebra of
 functions. $\mathcal{O}_1(X) = \text{Qcoh}(X) =$ (derived?) category of
 quasicoh sheaves of \mathcal{O} -modules.

Rmk: $\mathcal{O}_{k-1}(X) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_k(X)}$ (unit object).

Defn: X is k -affine if $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k(X))$ is an equiv.

Rmk: “ $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{C})$ ” is a stack in fpqc topology.

Gelfand–Naimark theorem: Most spaces from func-
 tional analysis and point-set topology are 0-affine.

Grothendieck: Most spaces from algebraic geometry are
 not 0-affine.

Tannakian philosophy: Most spaces from algebraic ge-
 ometry are 1-affine.

E.g.: qcqs schemes are 1-affine (Brandenburg–
 Chirvasitu), as are affine ind-schemes (Brandenburg–
 Chirvasitu–JF) and Nötherian algebraic stacks with affine
 stabilizers (Hall–Rydh). Algebraic stacks with non-affine
 stabilizers are not 1-affine (Hall–Rydh).

Defn: A 1-quantum space does is not have a commuta-
 tive algebra of functions, but rather an associative algebra.
 More generally, n -quantum spaces have algebras of func-
 tions that are n -algebras, i.e. algebras for the operad of
 little disks in $\mathbb{R}^n.$ $\text{Spec}(n\text{-algebra})$ is a 0-affine n -quantum
 space. ∞ -quantum = commutative = classical.

E.g.: A (0-affine) 0-quantum space is a pointed \mathbb{K} -module
 (object in Vect_1).

Associative algebras (0-affine 1-quantum spaces) do not
 have symmetric monoidal categories of modules. But
 Mod_A is a pointed category, pointed by $A_A.$

Defn: A 1-affine 1-quantum space is a pointed object in
 Vect_2 (i.e. pointed category with good properties).

More generally, if $k \leq n,$ a k -affine n -quantum space is
 an $(n - k)$ -algebra object in $\text{Vect}_{k+1}.$

E.g.: (Classifying stacks of) quantum groups are 1-affine
 3-quantum. (Braided monoidal category = 2-algebra in
 Vect_2 .)

Bicategory of 1-affine 1-quantum spaces: Objects are
 pointed categories (\mathcal{C}, C) (linear, locally presentable, etc.).
 1-morphisms $(\mathcal{C}, C) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, D)$ are “oplax pointed func-
 tors” (F, f) with $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $f : D \rightarrow F(C).$ 2-
 morphisms are natural transformations making appropri-
 ate triangle commute.

This is called “ $\text{Alg}_0(\text{Vect}_2)$.”

C.f.: $\text{Alg}_1(\text{Vect}_1):$ objects are associative algebras,
 1-morphisms are pointed bimodules, 2-morphisms are
 pointed intertwiners. Related by functor “Mod”:

$$A \mapsto (\text{Mod}_A, A_A).$$

$$({}_A M_B, m) \mapsto ((-) \otimes_A M, m : B_B \rightarrow M_B).$$

$\text{Mod} \circ \text{End} :$

$$V \mapsto (\text{Mod}_{\text{End}(V)}, \text{End}(V)_{\text{End}(V)}) \cong (\text{Vect}_1, V).$$

$$(f : V \rightarrow W) \mapsto \text{Mod}(\text{End}(V) \text{hom}(V, W)_{\text{End}(W)}, f)$$

$$\cong (\text{id}_{\text{Vect}_1}, f : V \rightarrow W).$$

3. Functorial definition of quantum field theory

Different types of qft require different geometries on
 spacetime. Fix some geometry $\gamma.$ $\text{Bord}_{d-n, \dots, d}^\gamma = n$ -
 category of “bordisms of dimensions $d - n \leq \dim \leq d$
 equipped with γ -geometry.” E.g. Lurie’s theorem (cobor-
 dism hypothesis) is about $\text{Bord}_{0, \dots, d}^{d\text{-framing}}.$

Defn (Atiyah, Segal, etc.): An n -extended d -
 dimensional Schrödinger-picture qft (for geometry γ) is a
 symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Bord}_{d-n, \dots, d}^\gamma \rightarrow \text{Vect}_n.$

Defn: An n -extended d -dimensional k -affine Heisenberg-picture qft is a symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Bord}_{d-n,\dots,d}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{n-k}(\text{Vect}_{k+1})$.

Here $\text{Alg}_{n-k}(\text{Vect}_{k+1})$ is the $(n+1)$ -category with:

- Objects = $(n-k)$ -algebras in Vect_{k+1}
- 1-morphisms = “bimodule” $(n-k-1)$ -algebras
- ...
- $(n-k)$ -morphisms = pointed “bimodules between ... between bimodules” in Vect_{k+1}
- $(n-k+1)$ -morphisms = pointed 1-morphisms in Vect_{k+1} intertwining all actions
- ...

In the early 21st century, everyone knows what a strict 1-category is, but higher categories are still confusing, so it takes theorems to check that they exist:

Theorem (Scheimbauer–Calaque): Let \mathcal{S} be a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category satisfying mild properties. Then there is a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, n-k)$ -category $\text{Alg}_{n-k}(\mathcal{S})$ with above 0- through $(n-k)$ -morphisms.

Theorem (JF–Scheimbauer): If \mathcal{S} is (∞, k) , then $\text{Alg}_{n-k}(\mathcal{S})$ extends to an (∞, n) -category.

4. Important non-examples

Take $\gamma = \text{framing}$, $n = d$. Recall Lurie’s theorem:

Cobordism hypothesis: $\{\text{Symmetric monoidal functors } \text{Bord}_{0,\dots,n}^{\text{fr}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\} \simeq \{n\text{-dualizable objects in } \mathcal{C}\}$.

Theorem (Scheimbauer–Calaque): Every object of $\text{Alg}_{n-k}(\mathcal{S})$ is $(n-k)$ -dualizable.

Theorem (JF–Scheimbauer): Let \mathcal{S} be (∞, k) -category. The only n -dualizable in $\text{Alg}_{n-k}(\mathcal{S})$ is the unit.

So the interesting dualizability is in between.

Exercise: Take $\text{Vect}_2 = \text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}}$. If $(\mathcal{C}, C) \in \text{Alg}_0(\text{Vect}_2)$ is 1-dualizable, then C is compact projective.

Corollary: Let X be a scheme. $(\text{Qcoh}(X), \mathcal{O}_X) \in \text{Alg}_0(\text{Vect}_2)$ is 1-dualizable iff X is (0) -affine.

It gets worse:

Theorem (Brandenburg–Chirvasitu–JF): Let X be a scheme. If X contains a closed projective subscheme, then $\text{Qcoh}(X)$ is not 1-dualizable in $\text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Theorem (Brandenburg–Chirvasitu–JF): Let C be a coassociative coalgebra. Comod^C is 1-dualizable in $\text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}}$ iff it has enough projectives.

E.g.: If $C = \mathcal{O}(G)$ for G an affine algebraic group. Has enough projectives when reductive in char 0, but not when solvable, nor when reductive in char p .

Conj: $\text{Qcoh}(X)$ is 1-dualizable in $\text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}}$ iff X is affine.

Conj: \mathcal{C} is 1-dualizable in $\text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}}$ iff has enough compact projectives. **Rmk:** Both \Leftarrow s are elementary.

Rmk: This nondualizability goes away if you use derived categories, since then everything is projective, so only need enough compact (Ben-Zvi–Francis–Nadler).

5. Heisenberg-picture Chern–Simons theory

Theorem (JF): $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Alg}_2(\text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}})$ is 3-dualizable if $1_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$ is compact projective and \mathcal{C} is generated by its dualizable objects. It is $\text{SO}(3)$ -invariant (i.e. defines an oriented tqft rather than a framed one) if it is equipped with a “ribbon structure.”

Main e.g.: $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. $\mathcal{C} = \text{TL} = \text{cocompletion of Temperley–Lieb category} = \text{rep thy of Temperley–Lieb algebra}$. Corresponding tqft $M \mapsto \int_M \text{TL}$ packages together all objects of Kauffman-bracket skein theory:

- For $M = 3$ -manifold with boundary, $\int_M \text{TL} = \text{Przytycki’s relative skein modules}$.
- For $M = 2$ -manifold, $\int_M \text{TL}$ is a pointed category with $\text{End}(\text{pointing object}) = \text{usual skein algebra}$.
- $\int_{S^1} \text{TL} = \text{annular Temperley–Leib}$.

One power of working with $\text{Pres}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is that all constructions are well-behaved for “tensoring”-type operations. In particular, for any commutative ring $\text{hom } \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \rightarrow R$, there is a category $\text{TL} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} R \in \text{Alg}_2(\text{Pres}_R)$, and $(\int_M \text{TL}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} R \cong \int_M (\text{TL} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} R)$.

E.g.: $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via $q \mapsto -1$. Then $\text{TL} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C} = \text{Rep}(\text{SL}(2))$.

For $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$ (with trivial module projective, i.e. reductive in char=0), $\int_{\square} \mathcal{C}$ is “classical topological gauge theory”:

- For $\dim M \leq 2$, $\int_M \text{Rep}(G) = \text{Qcoh}(\text{stack of } G\text{-local systems on } M)$.
- For $\dim M = 3$, $\int_M \text{Rep}(G) \in \int_{\partial M} \text{Rep}(G)$ is push-forward of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Loc}_G(M)}$ along restriction map $\text{Loc}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Loc}_G(\partial M)$.

Optimism: This might help answer various “semiclassics” questions from quantum topology, e.g. “AJ conjecture” relating colored Jones polynomial to character variety.

(I could prove AJ if I could split a certain monomorphism. It’s approximately the inclusion of holonomic sequences among all sequences.)