

Way too long.
 Think about
 how to cut
 down

Categorified Algebraic Closure

ATLAT 15 Feb 2022, Theo Johnson-Freyd

Given a com ring K , recall that a ^{com} K -algebra is simply a com ring R equipped with a map $K \rightarrow R$.

Given a com K -alg R and an element $r \in R$, we get a canonical map $K[x] \xrightarrow{ev_r} R$, $x \mapsto r$.

Defn: $r \in R$ is algebraic over K if ev_r has kernel.

Algebra R is algebraic over K if every elt is.

In other words, r "solves a nontrivial poly equation".

In other words, algebraic algebras are built out of proper quotients $K[x]/I$. Better: R is a direct limit of rings of the form $K[x]/(f)$ where you adjoin roots of polys.

A usual course on Galois thy is about such extensions where both K, R are fields. In a usual course, special emphasis is placed on the separable extensions, which is when $\forall r \in R$, " r solves an eqn w/ no repeated roots". Here is an equivalent condition: R is separable over K if the multiplication map

$$m: R \otimes_K R \rightarrow R \quad \text{--- i.e. } m \Delta = id_R$$

admits a one-sided inverse $\Delta: R \rightarrow R \otimes_K R$

which is R -bilinear. --- i.e. $\Delta(abc) = (a \otimes 1) \Delta(b) (1 \otimes c)$.

In other words, R is Frobenius. (Exercise: Δ is coassoc.)

Ques: So we have categories

$$\text{sep'l exts} \subset \text{Algebraic extensions} \subset \text{Com}_K$$

Initial object = K .

Terminal object = $\mathbb{0}$.

Remark: An algebraic geometer knows you should really work with $\text{Com}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\text{op}}$. Then $\mathbb{K} \mapsto$ "pt" is terminal in $\text{Com}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\text{op}}$.

This gives us a chance to ask: Given R , what is $\text{hom}(R, \mathbb{K})$?

- algebraically, these are the "points" in R .
- algebraically, R is "a system of poly eqns" and these are the solns.

In particular, usual situation is $R \neq 0$ but $\text{hom}(R, \mathbb{K}) = \emptyset$.

B.t.:

- ~~sep~~ $\text{hom}(R, \mathbb{K}) \neq \emptyset \forall R \neq 0$ in {algebras} iff \mathbb{K} is an algebraically closed field.

\Leftrightarrow

Similarly, a field \mathbb{K} is separably closed iff $\text{hom}(R, \mathbb{K}) \neq \emptyset \forall \text{ sep } R \neq 0$.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field. Then $R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S \neq 0$ if $R, S \neq 0$, so $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S: \{\text{alg } \text{Com}_{\mathbb{K}}\} \rightarrow \{\text{alg } \text{Com}_S\}$ preserves nonzero.

An algebraic closure (resp sep closure) of \mathbb{K} is S alg closed. By adjunction,

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{K}}^+(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(R, S) = \text{hom}_S(R \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S, S).$$

This lets you define a "Galois connection"

$$\text{Com}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \text{Set}_{\text{Alg}(S)}$$

which becomes an equiv for sep'd exts.

ie studying
the functor
 $\text{hom}(-, \mathbb{K})$
 $\therefore \text{Com}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

My goal is to tell you a categorification of this story. What do I mean?

Commutative algebras \rightsquigarrow sym \otimes category.

I still want algebras to be abelian gps. So let's decide to work with abelian categories.

Small variations on "abelian" are OK, e.g. additive and finitely cocomplete w/o requiring $\text{im} = \text{coim}$.

An example should be $\text{Mod}(K)$ for K a com ring, (maybe f.g. mods.) with $\otimes = \otimes_K$. So let's ask for "bilinearity of ~~the~~ multiplication" to be that $\otimes : \mathbb{R}\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{R}$ is right-exact in each variable.

What do "algebraic extensions" look like? Let's focus on "ground ring" $K \rightsquigarrow \text{Vect}_K = K$ where K is a ~~commutative~~ field of char zero.

So a "com Vect_K alg" is a sym \otimes abelian cat $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{R}$ which is K -linear.

What is the "polynomial ring" $\text{Vect}_K[x]$?

It is the free sym \otimes ab. cat generated over Vect_K by an object X . How does this look? It contains objects $1, X, X^{\otimes 2}, X^{\otimes 3}, \dots$

but also: $X^{\otimes n}$ has an action by S_n .

i.e. $\text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) = K[S_n]$ gp alg.

I thank D. Reutter for explaining this to me.

In $\text{char} = 0$, we fully understand the rep thy of S_n : the simples are indexed by Young diagrams. Given a Young diagram λ , get an object of an abelian category X^λ . And $\text{Vec}_K[x]$ is semisimple w/ these simples.

Given K -alg R and an object $V \in R$, get functor $K[x] \rightarrow R$, $x \mapsto V$, and $X^\lambda \mapsto S^\lambda(V)$. ~~It~~ Depends non-linearly nontrivially on V . $S^\lambda(-)$ is called the λ th Schur functor.

Let K a field of $\text{char} = 0$.

Defn: $S_n \otimes$ ab K -lin cat \mathcal{R} is algebraic if $\forall V \in \mathcal{R}$, $\exists \lambda$ st. $S^\lambda(V) = 0$.

Ex: Let G be a group (finite or inf; lin, alg, ...).

Then $\text{Rep}(G)$ is alg: if $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ has dim n , then $S^{n+1} V = 0$. $S^0 = 1^{n+1}$.

I actually don't know if $V \in \mathcal{R}$ has an algebraic closure. To make progress, let's request a mild form of separability. Recall that R was sep if we had an R -bilinear 1-sided inverse. Let's replace "1-sided inverse" with "right adjoint".

Exercise: $\otimes: \mathcal{R} \boxtimes \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ has an \mathcal{R} -bilinear right adjoint, iff \mathcal{R} is rid i.e. objects ~~are~~ have duals. (This is ~~is~~ slightly stronger than \otimes -closed. It is \otimes -closed and $\text{hom}(X, Y) = \text{hom}(X, 1) \otimes Y$.)

Aside

Defn: Separable tensor cat is rigid and the counit $\otimes \circ \otimes^R: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ has an \mathcal{R} -bilinear splitting $\text{id}_{\mathcal{R}}$.

~~equiv the monad $\otimes \circ \otimes^R$ on $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}$~~
 equiv the monad $\otimes^R \circ \otimes$ on $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}$ is separable.

Thus I'll say that \mathcal{R} is rid-algebraic if it is rid (with separability) and $\forall V \in \mathcal{R}$, V is annihilated by a separ. functor.

skip

E.g./Proposition Suppose \mathcal{R} is rid. Then every $V \in \mathcal{R}$ has a dimension in \mathbb{K} . If V is annihilated by some separ. functor, then $\dim V \in \mathbb{K}$.
 $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathbb{K} \iff \mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and

The (Deligne) Suppose \mathcal{R} is rid-algebraic and all dims are positive. Then $\text{hom}(\mathcal{R}, \text{Vec}) \neq \emptyset$.

Let's take $K = \overline{\mathbb{R}} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$.

Is Vec_K alg closed?

No!

Compare: To go $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we start w/
 $\mathbb{R}[z/2] = \mathbb{R}[z]/(z^2=1)$, and
adjust to $\mathbb{R}[z]/(z^2=-1)$.

One category level up, we can do something
similar as follows. Let's take

$$\text{Vec}[z/2] = \text{Vec}[z]/z^2=1 = \mathbb{C}[K]$$

Now, this is sym \otimes , so it goes with
the data of a map

$$\begin{array}{l} z \otimes z = 1 \\ \times \\ z \otimes z = 1 \end{array}$$

which implicitly we chose to be $+1$.
But we could change our mind and set it
to -1 . The result is called $s\text{Vec}$.

In other words, a general object of $s\text{Vec}$
is

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1, z \equiv$$

$\uparrow \quad \nearrow$

vector spaces, say $d=5=p.f.$

$$"V = K^{p/f}"$$

i.e. as a cat, this is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded V -spaces.

