

# Fermions and categorified algebra closure

Theo Johnson-Freyd, Perimeter Inst. & Dalhousie U.,  
AustMS Meeting 2025, La Trobe University, 12 Dec 2025

Based on joint work in progress with David Reutter

<https://categorified.net/AustMS2025.pdf>



# Why fermions?

Consider a quantum system with various species of (quasi)particles: quarks and leptons, phonons and Cooper pairs, ....

**Question:** Can you consistently model each species by a vector space of “internal states”? Requirements:

- ▶ Superposition of particles  $\longleftrightarrow \oplus$  of vector space.
- ▶ Fusion of particles  $\longleftrightarrow \otimes$  of vector spaces.
- ▶ Exchanging particles  $\longleftrightarrow$  natural iso  $\bowtie : V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$ .

**In other words:** Does there necessarily exist a symmetric monoidal functor from the category of particle configurations to **Vec**?

**Warning:** In  $(\leq 2+1)D$ , particles can have nonsymmetric braiding statistics. Particle braiding is symmetric in  $(\geq 3+1)D$ .

# Why fermions?

**Question:** Does there necessarily exist a symmetric monoidal functor from the category of particle configurations to  $\mathbf{Vec}$ ?

**Answer:** No. For any vector space, the exchange isomorphism  $\chi : V \otimes V \cong V \otimes V$  has **positive** trace: it interferes **constructively** with the identity isomorphism. On the other hand, for physical protons or electrons, exchanging two identical particles interferes **destructively** with leaving them in place.

**Cheap fix (Grassmann, Dirac, Fermi):** Formally declare a new type of vector — a **supervector** — which comes in two parts. The **even** part satisfies the usual exchange statistics, but the **odd** part picks up an extra  $-1$  under self-exchanges.

In other words, you use the category  $s\mathbf{Vec}$ , which is almost the same as the category of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces, except you add a  $-1$  to the commutator.

# Why fermions?

**Cheap fix (Grassmann, Dirac, Fermi):** Formally declare a new type of vector — a **supervector** — which comes in two parts. The **even** part satisfies the usual exchange statistics, but the **odd** part picks up an extra  $-1$  under exchanges.

**Theorem (Doplicher–Roberts, Deligne):** This cheap fix suffices! If  $\mathcal{A}$  is any symmetric monoidal  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category which is **nonzero** and **not too large**, then there exists a symmetric monoidal  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{sVec}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Details:** For both Doplicher–Roberts and Deligne, **not too large** includes **rigid**: every object in  $\mathcal{A}$  should have a dual object (e.g. dual of a finite-dimensional vector space). For Doplicher–Roberts, **not too large** = **rigid** +  **$C^*$** . For Deligne, **not too large** = **rigid** + **finite-dimensional hom spaces** + **abelian** + **finite-length Jordan-Holder decompositions** +  $\text{length}(X^{\otimes n}) \leq C^n$ .

# Why imaginary numbers?

Consider a quantum system with various local **integrals of motion**: local operators whose value is locally constant as a function of their location in spacetime.

**Question:** Can you consistently model each integral of motion by a numerical “value”? Requirements:

- ▶ Superposition of operators  $\longleftrightarrow$  + of numbers.
- ▶ Fusion of operators  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\times$  of numbers.

**In other words:** Does there necessarily exist a homomorphism from the commutative ring of integrals of motion to  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

**Warning:** In  $(\leq 0+1)D$ , integrals of motion can have noncommutative operator products. Operator product is commutative in  $(\geq 1+1)D$ .

# Why imaginary numbers?

**Question:** Does there necessarily exist a homomorphism from the commutative ring of integrals of motion to  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

**Answer:** No. The square of any real number is **positive**. But systems with spontaneously broken time-reversal symmetry have (real) integrals of motion that square to  $-1$ .

**Cheap fix (Cardano):** Formally declare a new type of number — a **complex number** — which comes in two parts. The **real** part satisfies the usual multiplication law, but the **imaginary** part picks up an extra  $-1$  under self-multiplications.

In other words, you use the ring  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is almost the same as the ring of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded real numbers, except you add a  $-1$  to the multiplication law.

# Why imaginary numbers?

**Cheap fix (Cardano):** Formally declare a new type of number — a complex number — which comes in two parts. The real part satisfies the usual multiplication law, but the imaginary part picks up an extra  $-1$  under self-multiplications.

**Theorem (Gauss, Hilbert, Gelfand):** This cheap fix suffices! If  $A$  is any commutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra which is nonzero and not too large, then there exists a commutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Details:** For Gauss, not too large = singly-generated. For Hilbert, not too large = finitely-generated. For Gelfand, not too large =  $C^*$ .

**Punchline:**  $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightsquigarrow \text{sVec}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is an algebraic closure!

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{alg. clos.}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{modules}} \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{alg. clos.}} \text{sVec}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

# Going deeper

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{alg. clos.}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{modules}} \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{alg. clos.}} \mathbf{sVec}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

$n$ -categories organize  $n$ -(spacetime-)dimensional objects in quantum systems: strings, defects, interfaces, branes, ....

**Question:** What kind of **statistics** will we need to introduce to realize all possible  $n$ -dimensional objects? What is the **algebraically closed  $n$ -category**? Does it even exist?

**Strategy:** Set  $\mathcal{W}^0 := \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}^1 := \mathbf{sVec}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}^n :=$  algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{W}^{n-1})$ . Build these inductively.

**Vocabulary advocacy:** **Higher algebra** has come to mean the algebra of homotopy types, spectra,  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.  $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$  has  $\mathbb{C}$  **at the top**: sym mon  $n$ -categories feel like **cohomological** objects. So I advocate the term **deeper algebra** for the algebra of  $(\infty, \infty)$ -categories.

# Deeper roots of unity

**Lemma:** If  $\mathbb{K}$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, then its **roots of unity**  $\mu(\mathbb{K}) :=$  torsion subgroup of  $\mathbb{K}^\times$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\mathbb{K}$  has characteristic  $p$ , then  $\mu(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Proof:** Pick a finite group  $A$  (of order coprime to  $p$ ). Look at the group algebra  $\mathbb{K}[A]$ . There must be  $|A|$ -many maps  $\mathbb{K}[A] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ . But  $\text{hom}(\mathbb{K}[A], \mathbb{K}) = \text{hom}(A, \mu(\mathbb{K}))$ . This forces  $\mu(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

Basically the same thing works in deeper categories, with some vocabulary change:

abelian group  $\rightsquigarrow$  spectrum

finite abelian group  $\rightsquigarrow$   $\pi$ -finite spectrum

A **spectrum** is basically a coherently homotopy-commutative topological group. It is  **$\pi$ -finite** when the product of its homotopy groups is finite.

# Deeper roots of unity

The spectrum analogue of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is called the **Brown–Comenetz dual to spheres** denoted  $I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$ . Its homotopy groups:

$$\pi_n I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} = \begin{cases} 0, & n > 0 \\ \text{hom}(\pi_{|n|}^s, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), & n \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$\pi_m^s := \varinjlim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{m+k} S^k =$  **stable homotopy groups of spheres**.

**Theorem (Brown–Comenetz):** Consider the homotopy 1-category of the  $\infty$ -category of those spectra  $A$  equipped with a map  $\pi_0 A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . This 1-category has a terminal object,  $I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Proof is nontrivial.** Existence requires  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is a divisible group.

# Deeper roots of unity

**Lemma:** If  $\mathcal{W}^n$  is an algebraically closed symmetric monoidal  $n$ -category of characteristic zero, then its roots of unity  $\mu(\mathcal{W}^n)$ , i.e. the torsion subspectrum of  $(\mathcal{W}^n)^\times$ , is iso to  $I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}^n := \Omega^\infty \Sigma^n I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Main Theorem (JF–Reutter):** There is a unique sequence  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet$  with:

1.  $\mathcal{W}^n$  is a rigid sym mon  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $n$ -category with duals.
2.  $\mathcal{W}^n$  is an ind. limit of finite separable extensions of  $\mathbf{Mod}^n(\mathbb{C})$ .
3.  $\mathcal{W}^{n-1} \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{W}^n}(1)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet$  is a **categorical spectrum**.
4.  $\mu(\mathcal{W}^n) \cong I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}^n$ .

Moreover,  $\mathcal{W}^n$  is separably closed among sym mon  $n$ -categories.

Item 2 can probably be weakened: when  $n = 3$ , there are extensions that feel inseparable but algebraic. Depending on the weakening, there are also transcendental extensions. Ask me in private.

# Deeper Kummer extensions

Suppose a field  $\mathbb{K}$  has  $\mu(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . It probably is not algebraically closed. For example, it can still have noncyclotomic abelian extensions.

**Theorem (Kummer):** Suppose  $\mu(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  and  $A$  is a finite abelian group with  $A^\vee := \text{hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $A$ -Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{K}$  are classified by  $\text{Ext}^1(A^\vee, \mathbb{K}^\times) = \text{hom}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(A^\vee, (\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}})^\times)$ .

**Proof:** An  $A$ -Galois extension  $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  is in particular an  $A$ -representation. If  $\mu(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , then every  $A$ -representation is diagonalizable into one-dimensional eigenspaces. This diagonalization writes  $\mathbb{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A^\vee} \mathbb{L}_\alpha$  as an  $A^\vee$ -graded commutative algebra. The assignment  $\alpha \mapsto \mathbb{L}_\alpha$  is a symmetric monoidal functor  $A^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}}$ , or equivalently a spectrum map  $A^\vee \rightarrow (\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}})^\times$ .

# Deeper Kummer extensions

**Theorem (Kummer):** Suppose  $\mu(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  and  $A$  is a finite abelian group with  $A^\vee := \text{hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $A$ -Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{K}$  are classified by  $\text{Ext}^1(A^\vee, \mathbb{K}^\times) = \text{hom}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(A^\vee, (\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}})^\times)$ .

Since  $A^\vee$  is  $(\pi)$ -finite, any map to  $(\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}})^\times$  factors through its torsion subgroup  $\mu(\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}})$ .

**Punchline:** Abelian extensions of  $\mathbb{K}$  are controlled by the roots of unity in  $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}}$ .

A field without abelian extensions is **solvably closed**. To form the solvable closure, you keep adjoining abelian extensions until  $\mu(\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}})$  is as simple as possible.

Nonabelian simple groups are much harder. Fortunately they won't arise for us.

# Deeper Kummer extensions

Using some long exact sequences, we showed:

**Theorem (JF–Reutter):** Suppose  $\mathcal{W}^{n-1}$  is algebraically closed, in particular  $\mu(\mathcal{W}^{n-1}) = I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}^{n-1}$ . Then  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{W}^{n-1})$  has a **universal abelian extension**: there is a universal abelian group  $U^n$  such that

$$\pi_0\{A\text{-graded extensions of } \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{W}^{n-1})\} = \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{AbGp}}(A, U^n).$$

Moreover, this universal  $U^n$ -extension (classified by  $\mathrm{id} : U^n \rightarrow U^n$ ) is algebraically closed. It is  $\mathcal{W}^n$ .

The dual group  $(U^n)^\vee = \mathrm{hom}(U^n, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ , or rather some cohomological shift of it, is the relative Galois group  $\mathbf{Gal}(\mathcal{W}^n/\mathcal{W}^{n-1})$ . The full Galois group  $\mathbf{Gal}(\mathcal{W}^n/\mathbb{C})$  is a homotopical group with  $\pi_n \mathbf{Gal}(\mathcal{W}^n/\mathcal{W}^{n-1}) = (U^n)^\vee$ .

# Why don't we see nonabelian extensions?

Our proof is direct and algebraic. Indeed, a priori there is not a well-defined algebraic closure. We need to construct it without knowing its existence, in particular without a general deeper Galois theory. But what seems to be going on, with hindsight, is:

- ▶ If everything works well, then definitely  $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{W}^n/\mathcal{W}^{n-1}) = K(\pi_n \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{W}^n/\mathbb{C}), n)$  is abelian.
- ▶ Any homotopical group with trivial  $\pi_0$  is solvable.
- ▶ Given a categorical spectrum  $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ , its roots of unity  $\mu(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)$  are some sort of “étale cohomology” of “ $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ .” Saying  $\mu(\mathcal{W}^\bullet) = I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$  is saying that  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{W}^\bullet)$  is a homology point.
- ▶ On the other hand, the ordinary Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{A}^0}/\mathcal{A}^0)$  measures “étale  $\pi_1$ .” Saying  $\mathcal{W}^0 = \mathbb{C}$  is saying that  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{W}^\bullet)$  has the fundamental group of a point.
- ▶ **Whitehead, Hurewicz:** A space with both the homology and fundamental group of a point is a point.

# Calculating the Galois group

An ordinary absolute Galois group has a **cyclotomic character**  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ . Our cyclotomic character lands in  $\text{Aut}(I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}) = \widehat{\mathbb{S}}^\times$ .

Using ideas from **(un)gauging in TQFTs**, from **abelian Chern–Simons theory**, and from **surgery theory**, we can show:

**Theorem (JF–Reutter):** The fibre of the cyclotomic character admits an invariant valued in the L-theory of  $\pi$ -finite spectra. This invariant is an isomorphism in degrees  $\geq 5$ .

Calculating that L-theory, we find a LES ( $n \geq 5$ ):

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{-n} I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow U^n \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & n \text{ even} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \text{Witt group}, & n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \cdots$$

By analyzing our LES, and assuming that {gapped topological phases of matter} assemble into a nice deeper category, we can show:

**Physics Theorem (JF–Reutter):** Suppose  $I$  is an invertible (=short range entangled) phase of gapped topological matter. If  $I$  admits a gapped topological boundary condition, then the partition function of  $I$  on stably-framed manifolds is either trivial or an Arf–Brown–Kervaire invariant. If the partition function of  $I$  is any other invariant of framed manifolds, then  $I$  has enforced gaplessness (=conductivity) on its boundary.

## Credit where it's due

Idea that  $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sVec}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is an algebraic closure: my “Spin, Statistics” paper, inspired by jt work with [Chirvasitu](#).

Idea to look for a categorical spectrum with  $\mathcal{W}^1 = \mathbf{sVec}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{W}^\bullet) = I_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$ : [Hopkins](#), [Freed–Hopkins](#).

$\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbf{sVec})$  is algebraically closed: conjectured by [Hopkins](#); immediate consequence of my “grouplike” theorem jt with [Yu](#).

Constructing  $\mathcal{W}^3$ : [Freed–Scheimbauer–Teleman](#). They told us their answer, and we back-solved to find the right question.

Surgery analysis of Galois group: inspired by [Lan–Kong–Wen](#) classification of 4D topological orders.

Narrative in terms of Kummer extensions: inspired by [Burklund–Schlank–Yuan](#) work on chromatic homotopy theory.

THANK YOU

25 YEARS

PI PERIMETER INSTITUTE