

Higher Algebraic Closure

Feza Gursey Center, 11 April 2023

Theo Johnson-Freyd, PI @ Dalhousie

joint work in progress w/ D. Reutter

slides: categorified.net/Feza.pdf

Nullstellensätze and Tannakian correspondences

Theorem [Hilbert, Gauss, Galois] If A is a nonzero commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra satisfying a size constraint, then there exists a can alg homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the alg of complex numbers.

Moreover, under a stronger size constraint, the canonical map $A \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\text{sets}}(\text{hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ is an iso.

Theorem [Deligne] If A is a non-zero sym mon category / \mathbb{R} satisfying a size constraint, then there exists a sym mon functor $A \rightarrow \text{SVec}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the category of super vector spaces. Moreover, under a stronger size constraint, $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hom}_{\text{spoid}}(\text{hom}_{\otimes}(A, \text{SVec}), \text{SVec})$

Goal of talk Higher category of complex super duper...

Higher Commutative algebras

The **based loops** in a pointed n -category $\mathcal{C}^n \ni 1$ is the [Esch: mBauer]
 $(n-1)$ -category $\Omega \mathcal{C}^n := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}^n}(1)$. A **tower** is a categorical
 Ω -spectrum: a sequence $\mathcal{C}^0, \mathcal{C}^1, \mathcal{C}^2, \dots$ with equivalences

$$\Omega \mathcal{C}^n \simeq \mathcal{C}^{n-1}$$

Infinite loop structure \leadsto all \mathcal{C}^n are symmetric monoidal.

Examples • $\mathbb{K}, \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(G), \{\mathbb{K}\text{-linear categories w/ } G\text{-action}\},$
 $\{\mathbb{K}\text{-linear } \mathbb{Z}\text{-categories w/ } G\text{-action}\}, \dots$

• any sym \otimes n -cat \mathcal{C} gives a tower $\mathbb{B}^{\bullet-n} \mathcal{C}$
w/ $(\mathbb{B}^{\bullet-n} \mathcal{C})^m = \begin{cases} \mathbb{B}^{m-n} \mathcal{C}, & m \geq n \\ \Omega^{n-m} \mathcal{C}, & n \geq m \end{cases}$ [one-pt delooping]

Higher semisimplicity

A \mathbb{K} -linear n -category is **semisimple** if it is:

[Gaiotto-JF]

- **Karoubian**: closed under \oplus 's and splitting of n -idempotents
- **rigid**: all $(< n)$ -morphisms have adjoints
- **locally semisimple**: All endomorphism rings of $(n-1)$ -morphisms are semisimple.

Example If \mathcal{C} is a semisimple monoidal $(n-1)$ -cat, then

$\Sigma\mathcal{C} :=$ Karoubi completion of \mathcal{BC} is a semisimple n -cat.

$\hookrightarrow \cong \{\text{f.g. proj. } \mathcal{C}\text{-modules}\}$




$\cong \{\text{separable algebras and bimodules in } \mathcal{C}\}$

I will take semisimple towers as my higher com algs.

I don't know what a non-s.s. "abelian n -category" is.

Higher Schur Theory [Douglas - Reutter]

A morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in an n -cat is **monic** if $\forall Z$, the induced functor $\text{hom}(Z, X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{hom}(Z, Y)$ is faithful. An object X is **simple** if every **non-zero** $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is monic. In a s.s. n -cat, every object is a \oplus of simples.

 **Warning:** When $n \geq 2$, the usual Schur's lemma, that  **nonzero maps between simples are isos,** fails! Yet: 

Higher Schur's Lemma: In a s.s. n -cat \mathcal{C} , "connected by a non-zero map" is an equiv reln on the simple objects.

$\pi_0 \mathcal{C} :=$ equivalence classes = Schur components

$\pi_0 \mathcal{C}$ indexes the simple summands (blocks) of $\mathcal{C} \in n\text{Cat}$.

Abelian extensions

Strategy: If \mathcal{W}^\bullet is an alg closed tower, then \mathcal{W}^n is alg closed among n -cats. PF: $\Sigma^{\bullet-n} : \{\text{sym} \otimes n\text{-cats}\} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \{\text{towers}\} : \Omega^{\infty-n}$.

So build \mathcal{W}^n as an extension of $\Sigma \mathcal{W}^{n-1}$. simple summands = $\pi_0 \mathcal{W}^n$

Example: • $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded extension.

• $s\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot (\text{fermion})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded extension.
" $\Sigma \mathbb{C}$

Proposition [JF-γ₀]: Suppose \mathcal{Z}^n is rigid monoidal semisimple

n -cat w/ $\Omega^{n-1} \mathcal{Z}^n = s\text{Vec}$. Then $\pi_0 \mathcal{Z}^n$ is a group, and

\mathcal{Z}^n is a $(\pi_0 \mathcal{Z}^n)$ -graded extension of $\Sigma \Omega \mathcal{Z}^n$. connected comp of 1.

Other words, the "field extension" $\mathcal{W}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ is "abelian".

We think that in fact $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\bullet$ is an abelian.

Invertibles

If \mathcal{E}^\bullet is a tower, then $(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)^\times = \{\text{invertible objects, isos, in } \mathcal{E}^\bullet\}$ is a Ω -spectrum of spaces.

Extension theory: Abelian extensions of \mathcal{E}^\bullet are classified by maps $A \rightarrow (\Sigma \mathcal{E})^\times$. Care about case $\mathcal{E}^\bullet = \Sigma \mathcal{W}^{\bullet-1}$.

Strategy: If \mathcal{W}^\bullet is alg closed, then $\mathcal{W}^\times = \mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}^\times$ is the

Cartier dual to the sphere spectrum i.e. $[\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}^\times] = [\pi_0 \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^\times]$ for any spectrum \mathbb{T} . Pf: Test univ. prop of \mathcal{W} against "gp algs".

By induction, $(\Sigma^2 \mathcal{W}^{\bullet-1})^\times$ and $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}^\times[n+1]$ agree in degs ≥ 2 .

Using universal prop of $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}^\times$ and that \mathbb{Z} has global dim = 1:

Main Theorem: There exists a unique s.s. tower \mathcal{W}^\bullet w/

$\mathcal{W}^1 = \text{svec}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{W}^\times = \mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}^\times$. This \mathcal{W}^\bullet is alg. closed.

Universal target categories

The existence of a tower \mathcal{W}^\bullet w/ $\mathcal{W}^1 = \text{sVec}$ and $\mathcal{W}^\infty = \text{IC}^\times$ was first speculated by Hopkins and appears in print in

Freed-Hopkins, Reflection Positivity. Their motivation:

find a "universal" category where "all" topological field theories take values. For example, the universal prop of IC^\times says it is the correct target for invertible TFTs if you want

TFTs to be determined by their partition functions.

Our \mathcal{W}^\bullet replaces invertible w/ semisimple:

every s.s. TFT takes values in \mathcal{W} , and is determined by its partition fn.

category of extended operators is semisimple.

cat of extended ops is trivial.

Galois/Tannaka philosophy: TFTs in $\mathcal{V}^\bullet =$ TFTs in \mathcal{W}^\bullet enhanced with $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{W}^\bullet/\mathcal{V}^\bullet)$ symmetry.

Computing the higher absolute Galois Group

$\text{Gal}(\text{ab ext}) = \text{dual to grading } \mathfrak{gp}$. So need to compute $\pi_0 \mathcal{W}^n$.

This is:

$$\{\text{s.s. } n\text{D TFTs}\} / \{\text{non-zero interfaces}\}$$

equivalently:
TFTs of Levin-Wen
type.

more casually: $\pi_0 \mathcal{W}^n = \{\text{s.s. TFTs}\} / \{\text{TFTs w/ top'ed b.c.}\}$

Lein-Kong-Wen Surgery: In an $n\text{D}$ tft, the operators of $\dim \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ form a sym mon subsector. By universality of \mathcal{W} , they can be identified w/ $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{H})$ for some "sense gp" \mathcal{H} .

Unfuse / condense / surger \mathcal{H} : Get new tft w/o ops of $\dim \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$

Remote detectability / Poincare duality: Also no ops of $\dim \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

But: No operators at all \Leftrightarrow invertible.

Surgery results

related by a top'2 interface

In $(2k)D$, every TFTs is cobordant to an invertible one.

In $(2k+1)D$, if $2k+1 \geq 5$, the only obstruction is a class

$$\mathcal{L}^{2k+2} := \underbrace{\{ \text{finite ab gps w/ a nondeg (skew)}^{k+1}\text{-sym form} \}}_{\text{Lagrangian correspondences}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^n \cong \begin{cases} 0, & n \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2, & n/2 \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \bigoplus_{p=1(4)} (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{p=3(4)} \mathbb{Z}/4, & n/2 \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Theorem: When $n \geq 4$, there is a LKW surgery LES

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_n \text{Gal}(W/\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \pi_n \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{hom}(\mathcal{L}^n, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1} \text{Gal} \rightarrow \dots$$

Surgery results

Theorem: When $n \geq 4$, there is a LKW surgery LES

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_n \text{Gal}(W/\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \pi_n \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\star} \text{hom}(\mathcal{L}^n, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1} \text{Gal} \rightarrow \dots$$

But \star is usually zero:

- $\mathcal{L}^n = 0$ if n is odd

- if $n \equiv 0(4)$, then \exists bosonic refinements of all classes in \mathcal{L}^n .

- if $n \equiv 2(4)$, then $\mathcal{L}^n = \mathbb{Z}/2$ is an Arf-Kervaire invariant,

and Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel show $\star = 0$ except $n = 2, 6, 14, 30, 62$,
and maybe 126.

Corollary: An n D QFT w/ a nontrivial grav. anomaly

is necessarily gapless unless $n = 1, 5, 13, 29, 61$, or maybe 125.

Comparison w/ Classical Surgery

Classical surgery obstructs manifolds from being cobordant to spheres.
It uses $L(\mathbb{Z})$, or better $L(H\text{-finite spectra w/ } \mathbb{S}\text{-valued quad. forms})$.
It relates L , \mathbb{S} , and $\mathcal{P}L = \text{piecewise-linear autos of } \mathbb{R}^n$.

Our surgery looks like the same thing, but with

$$\mathcal{Q} = L(\pi\text{-finite spectra w/ } \mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}^x\text{-valued quad. forms}).$$

This looks a lot like a profinite completion of L .

Thus, it looks like $\text{Gal} \approx \text{profinite completion of } \mathcal{P}L$.

This is still very much in progress.

Comparison to MTCs, RT thy

Surgery thy, both classical and our versions, breaks down around $\dim \approx 3, 4$ because of nonabelian knottedness.

Instead of \mathfrak{L}^4 , the gp in the LES is

$$\text{super quantum Witt gp} = \frac{\text{super MTCs}}{\text{Drinfeld centres}}$$

This is known to be a non-canonically split extension

$$\text{super } \mathfrak{g} \text{ Witt} \cong \mathfrak{L}^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\oplus \infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty}.$$

It classifies cobordism classes of Reshetikhin-Turaev theories.

The universal 3-cat \mathcal{W}^3 of RT thys was first built by Freed-Schreiber-Teleman. Their work inspired ours.



Speculations and closing comments

- If indeed there is a coherent surgery

$$\text{Gal}(W^\bullet/\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{Z}^\vee)$$

then almost surely $\text{Gal}(W^\bullet/\mathbb{C})$ will be abelian (i.e. E_∞)
so that W^\bullet is a cyclotomic extension of \mathbb{C} .

- $\pi_0 \text{Gal}(W^\bullet/\mathbb{C}) = *$, but $\pi_0 \text{PL} = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \pi_0 \text{Gal}(W^\bullet/\mathbb{R})$.

To really build a comparison map $\text{PL} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(W^\bullet/\mathbb{R})$

probably need some notion of reflection positivity.

- In positive characteristic, construction of s.s. alg closure still basically works. Extension $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow W^\bullet$ is not Galois and not G -graded in $\text{deg}=1$: $W^\bullet = \text{Verp}[\text{ostri}\mathbb{K}]$

W^\bullet is a purely inseparable extension of its max sep subext,
and the Galois gp still looks \approx like PL .