

Rigid Firm Categories

THEO JOHNSON-FREYD

(joint work with Jake McNamara and David Reutter)

Quillen [1] calls a nonunital algebra A *firm* when the multiplication map $A \otimes_A A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism; an A -module M is firm when $A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$ is an iso. Every unital algebra is firm, and a module for a unital algebra is unital (in the sense that the unit acts as a unit) if and only if it is firm. The many-object generalization of firm algebras to (enriched, ∞ -)categories: a nonunital category C is *firm* when, for every x, z , the composition map $\text{coend}_{y \in C} C(x, y) \times C(y, z) \rightarrow C(x, z)$ is an isomorphism; a presheaf f on C is firm when $\text{coend}_{y \in C} C(x, y) \times f(y) \rightarrow f(x)$ is an isomorphism; a functor $f : C \rightarrow D$ of firm categories is a *firm functor* when $D(f(-), f(-))$ is firm in each variable. These notions they have been independently discovered multiple times. For example, [2] uses the term “H-unital algebra” for a firm algebra in \mathbf{Sp} , and [3] uses the term “taxonomy” for a firm category enriched in \mathbf{Set} .

Given a firm category C , enriched in some ambient presentably symmetric category \mathcal{V} , write $P_{\mathcal{V}}(C)$ for its presentable \mathcal{V} -category of firm \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaves. These categories have an Eilenberg–Watts theorem: colimit-preserving \mathcal{V} -functors between $P_{\mathcal{V}}(C)$ and $P_{\mathcal{V}}(D)$ are precisely the firm C - D -profunctors. One reason to care about firm categories is the following amazing result of Ramzi, which says that “firm Morita theory” fully captures the theory of dualizable presentable \mathcal{V} -categories. (Ramzi states the theorem differently, but this is essentially Theorem B of [4].)

Theorem ([4]). *For any firm \mathcal{V} -category C , $P_{\mathcal{V}}(C)$ is 1-dualizable in the 2-category $\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of presentable \mathcal{V} -categories. Moreover, every 1-dualizable presentable \mathcal{V} -category is $P_{\mathcal{V}}(C)$ for some firm category C . Moreover, given a 1-dualizable presentable \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{M} , a firm category C presenting it can be constructed as follows: choose any subspace $X \subset \text{ob}(\mathcal{M})$ which is “spanning” in the sense that its full image is dense; take just the “atomic” morphisms between objects of X ; then X with just the atomic morphisms is a firm category presenting \mathcal{M} .*

Note that by taking a large enough object, in fact every dualizable presentable \mathcal{V} -category is the firm modules for a firm \mathcal{V} -algebra. The precise definition of “atomic morphism” is in [4]. Essentially, the atomic morphisms with domain $x \in \mathcal{M}$ are what would be the answer if x were an atomic object. Here is a valuable false etymology of the word “atomic”: in \mathbf{Ban} , the category of Banach spaces and short maps, the atomic morphisms are precisely the nuclear kernels.

One can easily talk about monoidal firm categories (and even firm-monoidal firm categories, where both the categorical composition and the monoidal product are firm rather than unital). Without units morphisms, it becomes more interesting to say the word “rigid”: one cannot ask for a zig-zag composite to be an identity. Suppose that C is monoidal firm, and consider the associativity square at the level

of dualizable presentable categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P(C) \boxtimes P(C) \boxtimes P(C) & \xrightarrow{P(C) \boxtimes m} & P(C) \boxtimes P(C) \\
\downarrow m \boxtimes P(C) & \cong \not\parallel & \downarrow m \\
P(C) \boxtimes P(C) & \xrightarrow{m} & P(C)
\end{array}$$

The sides of this square are all internal left adjoints in \mathbf{Pr}_V . C is called *Gaitsgory rigid* if this square is adjointable aka Beck–Chevalley in both directions, i.e. if both mates of this square strongly commute. It is called *rigid* if it is Gaitsgory rigid and moreover the induced firm profunctors $(-)^*, *(-) : C \rightleftarrows C^{\text{op}}$ are realized by functors.

One can moreover talk about dagger structures on firm categories. A *unitary dual functor* on a rigid monoidal dagger (firm) category is a choice of compatibility between $(-)^*$ and $(-)^{\dagger}$. A UDF selects a cyclic trace $\text{Tr}_c : \text{End}(c) \rightarrow \Omega C := \text{End}(1_C)$.

The most important example of a rigid firm monoidal dagger category with UDF is a geometric bordism category ($\mathbf{Bord}_{n,n-1}^{\text{Riem}}$ and its cousins). The firm representations of $\mathbf{Bord}_{n,n-1}^{\text{Riem}}$ are the ones that Kontsevich and Segal [5] call *continuous*.

Let B be any rigid firm symmetric monoidal dagger category with UDF, and let $\mathbb{C}B$ denote its \mathbb{C} -linearization. Write $\Omega\mathbb{C}B = \mathbb{C}\Omega B$ for the $*$ -algebra of endomorphisms of the unit object. The *positive cone* in $\Omega\mathbb{C}B$ is the set of all elements of the form $\text{Tr}_b(f^{\dagger}f)$ for any $f : b \rightarrow c$ in $\mathbb{C}B$.

Definition. A reflection-positive partition function on B is a *positive tracial state* on $\mathbb{C}B$: a $*$ -monoid homomorphism $z : \Omega B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose free linearization sends positives in $\Omega\mathbb{C}B$ to positives.

Any positive tracial state begs you to run a GNS construction. The many-object version builds, for each object $b \in B$, a \mathbf{Ban} -valued (in fact, \mathbf{Hilb} -valued, but I want to keep with presentable categories) firm presheaf $H_{z,b}$ on C ; the traciality says that $H_{z,b}$ depends functorially on b , so that we have built a functor $H_z : B \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{Ban}}(B)$. In fact, it is better than landing just in $P_{\mathbf{Ban}}(B)$: it lands among the atomic morphisms. Let \tilde{B}_z denote the “full atomic image” of $H_z : B \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{Ban}}(B)$. Then \tilde{B}_z is again firm, now \mathbf{Ban} -enriched, and again rigid symmetric monoidal dagger with UDF.

When is a firm category C Morita-equivalent to a unital one? In other words, when is $P(C)$ atomically generated? The atomic objects in $P(C)$ are the *Karoubi completion* $\text{Kar}(C)$ of C : the (unital!) category whose objects the idempotents in C , and with $\text{Kar}(C)(p,q) = pCq$. In the unital world, C and $\text{Kar}(C)$ are always Morita equivalent; in the firm world, this happens if and only if $P(C)$ is atomically generated. Consider the case when C is \mathbf{Vec} -enriched and has one object, i.e. when C is a firm algebra A . Build the ideal $K(A) \subset A$ generated by generated by all idempotent elements. As an idempotent ideal in a firm algebra, $K(A)$ is again firm, and by construction $K(A)$ is Morita equivalent (as a firm category) to $\text{Kar}(A)$. In

the unital world, $K(A) = A$, whereas in the firm world, $K(A)$ can be anywhere between A and 0 . Thus one sees that A is “Morita unital” if it “has enough idempotents” — if $K(A) = A$.

Colafranceschi, Dong, Marolf, and Wang have studied in [6] precisely this question for the firm Ban-category \tilde{B}_z . Their main theorem is not stated this way (indeed, their paper is written in the language of quantum gravity, not mathematics, and so they do not even distinguish a “main theorem,” let alone talk in term of firm Ban-categories), but their argument applies at the level of generality herein:

Theorem ([6]). *\tilde{B}_z has enough idempotents: it is Morita equivalent to its Karoubi completion. Moreover, all endomorphism algebras in $\text{Kar}(\tilde{B}_z)$ are finite-dimensional W^* -algebras.*

But \tilde{B}_z is Gaitsgory-rigid and hence $\text{Kar}(\tilde{B}_z)$ is as well (since Gaitsgory-rigidity is a Morita-invariant notion) and hence $\text{Kar}(\tilde{B}_z)$ is rigid (since it is Karoubi complete). By construction, $\Omega\tilde{B}_z = \mathbb{C}$ (and $\Omega B \rightarrow \Omega\tilde{B}_z$ is z). The famous reconstruction result of Doplicher and Roberts [7] thus says that there is a unique (up to non-unique isomorphism) super fibre functor $\text{Kar}(\tilde{B}_z) \rightarrow \text{sHilb}^{\text{fd}}$. Recompile via the equivalences $P_{\text{Ban}}(\text{Kar}(\tilde{B}_z)) \simeq P_{\text{Ban}}(\tilde{B}_z)$ and $P_{\text{Ban}}(\text{sHilb}^{\text{fd}}) = \text{sBan}$, and note that the presentably-dagger-with-UDF structure on sBan is dagger-with-UDF-in-the-small-category-sense precisely on $\text{sHilb} \subset \text{sBan}$. One ends up with the following amazing result reconstruction result of McNamara and Wang, which will appear in upcoming work and which is what inspired this project:

Theorem (McNamara and Wang). *Let B be any rigid symmetric monoidal firm category with dagger and UDF. Let $z : \Omega B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any reflection positive partition function on B . Then z extends, uniquely up to nonunique isomorphism, to a symmetric monoidal dagger-with-UDF functor $Z : B \rightarrow \text{sHilb}$.*

In other words:

Unitary functorial field theories, including the geometric ones, are determined by their partition functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Quillen, *Module theory over nonunital rings*, August 1996, <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/files/QuillenModulesOverRngs.pdf>.
- [2] A. Krause and T. Nikolaus, *Sheaves on Manifolds*, January 7, 2024, <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/files/KrauseNikolaus-SheavesOnManifolds.pdf>.
- [3] J. Koslowski, *Monads and interpolads in bicategories*, Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 3, No. 8, 1997, pp. 182–212.
- [4] M. Ramzi, *Dualizable presentable ∞ -categories*, 2024, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.21537>.
- [5] M. Kontsevich and G. Segal, *Wick rotation and the positivity of energy in quantum field theory*, 2021, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10161>.
- [6] E. Colafranceschi, X. Dong, D. Marolf, and Z. Wang, *Algebras and Hilbert spaces from gravitational path integrals: Understanding Ryu-Takayanagi/HRT as entropy without AdS/CFT*, Journal of High Energy Physics, Vol. 2024, Article No. 63, 2024.
- [7] S. Doplicher and J. Roberts, *A new duality theory for compact groups*, Inventiones mathematicae, Vol. 98, No. 1, 1989, pp. 157–218.